

Michigan Technological University • Department of Civil, Environmental, & Geospatial Engineering

PASER TRAINING

DISTRESS IDENTIFICATION

Sponsored by:



TAMC Michigan Transportation Asset Management Council



Be sure to fill out the self-certification survey!

Certificates will be E-mailed



TAMC Data Collection Training Program

<section-header>

PASER for Paved Roads

Class 1: Distress Identification Class 2: PASER Training

Inventory-Based Rating for Gravel Roads



See ctt.mtu.edu for upcoming trainings

Ned ages drych Taday



Brick







Asphalt Pavement

Asphalt Distress Types



Asphalt Distress Types



Environment Aging







Environment Aging





First Distress



Cracking

Primary Age-related Distresses







Longitudinal Joint



Block

Transverse Cracking

Age-related



Transverse Cracking



Transverse crack progression



Transverse Cracking - > 40' Spacing



Transverse Cracking - 10' to 40' Spacing



Transverse Cracking < 10'



Longitudinal Joints

Age-related



Longitudinal Joints Reflecting to Surface



Common Construction Joint



Gravel Base

Longitudinal Construction Joint (Tapered)



Longitudinal Tapered Joint Reflective Cracking



Block Cracking

Age-related



Block Cracking



Block Cracking Progression



First Signs **Block Cracking – First Signs** (6' to 10' blocks)

Block Cracking – Moderate

Moderate (1' to 5' blocks)



Block Cracking – Severe

Severe (<1' blocks)



Crack Width

Age-related



Crack Width - Tight





Crack Width – Open



Secondary Cracking



More Than Just a Crack.....



Progressed to Structural

2

3



Some notes about cracks...

A sealed crack is still a crack Crack opening width is unknown Thermal expansion and contraction
Asphalt Distress Types



Environmental Structural Weakening: Water Intrusion



Base Weakening & Loss of Support



Distress Propagation



Traffic Structural Weakening: Repeated Loading





Load Distribution

Rigidity



Gravel Base

Sand Sub-Base

Native Soil (sub grade)

Cost

Load Distribution – Small Vehicle



Load Distribution – Large Vehicle



Structural Distresses







Rutting

Shear Cracking

Alligator Cracking

Rutting

Structural Distress



Structural Distress – Rutting



Structural Distress – Rutting

Deep Rutting



Surface Rutting





Rutting Progression



Rutting Progression

10



Rutting Progression

10



Measuring Rutting





Shear Cracking or Cracking in the Wheel Path

Structural Distress



Shear Cracking



Load Related Distress Progression











Structural Distress







Ω

Progressed

Fatigue Cracking

Structural Distress



Structural Distress – Alligator (Fatigue) Cracking



Alligator (Fatigue) Cracking

First Sign <25% of lane

Alligator (Fatigue) Cracking

Severe >25% of lane

8

9

Ω

7

6

5

Λ

3

Percent of Worst Lane



Asphalt Distress Types



Surface Defects that Form for Various Reasons



Raveling

Flushing or Bleeding

Polishing

Raveling

Surface Defect



Surface Defect - Raveling

Slight (loss of fines)
Surface Defect - Raveling

Moderate (loss of aggregate)

Surface Defect - Raveling



Flushing or Bleeding

Surface Defect



Surface Distress – Flushing / Bleeding

Slight to Moderate

Surface Defect – Flushing / Bleeding



Polishing

Surface Defect



Surface Defect – Polishing

Slight to Moderate Ω

Surface Defect – Polishing

Extensive to Severe

1.0		思知				Mar A		OR THE
10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2

Surface Deformations that Form for Various Reasons



Slippage

Frost Heave

Distortion



Surface Deformation – Layer Slippage



Surface Deformation – Layer Slippage















Surface Deformation – Distortion or Settling

Surface Deformation – Distortion





Asphalt Review

<text>

Concrete Pavement

Concrete Distress Types

Concrete Surface Distress

Concrete Cracking

Concrete Joint Distress

Concrete Deformations

Concrete Surface Distress



Concrete Surface Distresses







Shallow Steel

Scaling

Pop-out



Map Cracking



Polishing

Surface Distress – Shallow Reinforcement



Surface Distress – Shallow Reinforcement



Surface Distress – Scaling

< 25% 25% to 50% > 50%

Surface Distress – Scaling



Surface Distress - Scaling



Surface Distress – Pop Outs



Surface Distress – Pop Outs



Surface Distress – Map Cracking



Surface Distress – Polishing



Surface Distress – Polishing



Concrete Cracking


Concrete Cracking



Transverse

Meander

Corner

Concrete Cracking – Transverse



Concrete Cracking – Transverse



Concrete Cracking - Transverse



Meander Crack – New Construction



Concrete Cracking - Meander



Concrete Cracking - Meander



Settlement – Utility Trench



Settlement – Utility Trench



Concrete Cracking – Corner Break



Concrete Cracking – Corner Break

6

5

3

2

Multiple cracks with broken pieces

Concrete Cracking – Slab Curling / Corner Break



Concrete Cracking – Slab Curling / Corner Break



Concrete Joint Distress



Concrete Joint Distress





Typical Concrete Joint



Cause of Joint Spall – Incompressible Materials



Partial Depth Joint Repair



Partial Depth Joint Repair



Full Depth Needed



Full Depth Joint Repair



Full Depth Joint Repairs



Joint Distress – Spalling



Joint Distress – Spalling



Concrete Deformations



Concrete Deformations



Buckles



Durability Cracking



Faulting

Deformations – Buckles



Deformations – Buckles



Deformations – Buckling (Tenting)



Deformations – Buckles





Deformations – Durability Crack



Deformations – Durability Crack



Deformations – Faulting





Deformations – Faulting



Deformations – Faulting


Deformations – Faulting



Deformations – Faulting





Concrete Review

Sealcoat Pavements



Chip Seal Pavement



Chip Seal on HMA



Sealcoat Pavement Close Up





Asphalt vs. Sealcoat





Hot Mix Asphalt



Sealcoat Distress Types



Edge Distress

Lane Distress

Raveling

Edge Distress



Edge Distress Progression



Edge Distress Progression



Edge Distress

Percent of Worst Lane



Raveling

Percent of Worst

Lane



Rutting

Depth in Inches



Sealcoat Review



Final Thoughts

Upcoming Trainings & Final Thoughts

Review PASER Manuals and print Cheat Sheets prior to next training

IBR	PASER Class 2	
Learn the basics of using the Inventory-Based Rating (IBR) System [™] for rating unpaved roads. • January 21, 2025 9am - 11am ET (Webinar) • February 25, 2025 9am - 11am ET (Webinar) • June 24, 2025 9am - 11am ET (Webinar) IBR sessions are virtual only.	Class 2 is an intro to the PASER system. It includes TAMC updates on data collection & council updates, rating rules and tips, interactive rating exercises on asphalt, concrete, and sealcoat roads. • February 19 & 20, 2025 9am - 12pm ET (Webinar) • March 5 & 6, 2025 9am - 12pm ET (Webinar) • May 14 & 15, 2025 9am - 12pm ET (Webinar) • May 20, 2025 8am - 12pm ET (Webinar) • May 20, 2025 8am - 12pm ET (Houghton) • May 22, 2025 8am - 12pm ET (Gaylord)	Asphalt PASER Audit of Mediaga TAM: Data of Med
PASER Class 1	 June 4, 2025 8am - 12pm ET (Livonia) June 5, 2025 8am - 12pm ET (Grand Rapids) August 27 & 28, 2025 9am - 12pm ET (Webinar) PASER Class 2 is available virtual or in-person. The virtual training is separated into two days; both days must be attended. In-person training is one day, but contains the same amount 	Image: second
 Class 1 covers distress identification for asphalt, concrete & sealcoat roads and sets the foundation for the PASER visual rating system. February 18, 2025 9am - 12pm ET (Webinar) March 4, 2025 9am - 12pm ET (Webinar) May 13, 2025 9am - 12pm ET (Webinar) August 26, 2025 9am - 12pm ET (Webinar) PASER Class 1 sessions are virtual only. 	of information as the virtual training.	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>



Michigan Technological University • Department of Civil, Environmental, & Geospatial Engineering

PASER TRAINING

CLASS TWO

Sponsored by:



TAMC Michigan Transportation Asset Management Council

TAMC Data Collection Training Program



Class 1: Distress Identification Class 2: PASER Training

Inventory-Based Rating for Gravel Roads



See ctt.mtu.edu for upcoming trainings



Transportation Asset Management Council Update

TAMC Update

Rating and Data Collection Rules

that are used for TAMC data collection



Who's requiring road condition ratings?



The Michigan Legislature

Public Act 51

According to Michigan's Act 51 (P.A. 499 in 2002 and P.A. 199 in 2007), each local road agency must annually report the mileage and condition of the road and bridge system under their jurisdiction to the Michigan **Transportation Asset** Management Council (TAMC)

Michigan Asset Management

Public Act 51 1951

TAMC formed 2002

Annual Reporting 2007

Funding rules and distribution created

TAMC is tasked with overseeing the management of Michigan infrastructure assets Local agencies are required to annually report road conditions

You are here! 2025

You are continuing a long tradition of stewardship of Michigan roads!

Why rate road condition?

Informed decision making





How do we get reimbursed?

Two categories

Federal aid eligible & Non-federal aid eligible



Each year TAMC funds condition ratings for 50% of a road agency's federal aid eligible network



What about our nonfederal aid eligible roads?

How do condition ratings for those roads get funded?





Regional and Metropolitan Planning Organizations



Speaking of funding...

Federal Aid Eligible Non-federal Aid Eligible
National Functional Classification

Federal aid eligibility is divided into two categories based on the National Functional Classification of that road





Rate based on distress <u>not</u> importance

Rate what you see... nothing else.



IBR

Inventory-Based Rating (IBR) is the rating system for gravel roads



Federal Aid Eligible







Gravel Surface

Dead End

Urban vs Rural

The pavement surface is not an indication of eligibility

A dead end does not disqualify a road from eligibility

Not always obvious!

Federal Aid Eligibility is not dependent on number of lanes



Which lane gets rated?

Trick question...







How is a lane defined?









What is a lane?







Through lanes

Continuous left lanes

Short turn lanes

Lanes that continueIthroughout the segment

Dedicated left turn lane

Shoulders aren't constructed the same as lanes

Shoulders don't experience the same traffic as lanes Shoulders may not be consistently present

How do we know what needs to be rated?

Roadsoft can generate a network based on the federal aid roadways that were rated last year



Why PASER?

Visual classification system



History of PASER

Created by WI Used in states throughout US MI formally adopted use in 2004

Visual Classification Systems

Quantitative Classification Systems

Quantitative Classification



Based on measurements

Distresses are measured

- Crack widths
- Crack spacing
- Area of distress





Detailed data

Time-consuming

Lots of information about the condition of your pavement Takes time to collect and time to process all of the data

Visual Classification



Based on visual assessment

Quantities are estimated instead of directly measured



Less detail



Efficient

Precise information about specific distresses and their locations is not gathered Data collection is relatively fast with minimal post-processing

What is PASER?

Visual classification system



You can't rate what you can't see



Sun angle

Driving into the sun is less pleasant but it highlights crack interfaces



You can't rate what you can't see



Rate distress not ride quality



What best represents the whole segment?



Provide uniformity

RPO/MPO

Prevent reporting mishaps

Lend support

Who is allowed to collect data?

		Gravel Roads
2 PASER/IBR trained people	1 PASER/IBR trained person from road agency	Requires IBR training
Different agencies (road agency and PO)	Separate driver	

ρ

ID: 118-657-033

Question slide

What does TAMC require to be collected?

All roads every 2 years

All FA roads every 2 years

0%

All FA roads every year

0%

All FA roads every two years and all Non-FA roads every 3 years

0%



ρ

ID: 118-657-033

Preparing Results

What does TAMC require to be collected?

All roads every 2 years 0% All FA roads every 2 years 0% All FA roads every year 0% All FA roads every two years and all Non-FA roads every 3 years 0%



What do I need?

PPE GPS Rating resources Laptop with framework



What is recorded?

Crew first and last names

Pavement surface

Number of lanes

PASER Rating

How do I keep track of all the data?

Roadsoft Laptop Data Collector (LDC) lets you collect your condition ratings and keep all your data organized



Michigan.gov



Where does the data go?

Center for Shared Solutions

Pop Quiz

Overview of Rating Systems

that are used to rate pavements

Asphalt Cheat Sheet

Asphalt PA	SER	Modified for Michigan TAMC Data Collection
Asphalt 10 New construction (<1 year old) No defects Recent base improvement Pacrific design:	Asphalt 9 Like new condition (>1 year old) No defects <u>Recent overlay with or without</u> a crush and shape	Asphalt 8 ◆ Transverse cracks: >40' apart Cracks: tight (hairline) or sealed Longitudinal cracks: few, on joints Recent seal coat or shury seal (*see below
PPM	Possible Action: PPM	Possible Action: Crack seal (PPM)
Asphalt 7 Transverse cracks: 10'-40' apart Cracks: open < ¼" Crack erosion: none or little Surface raveling: none or little Patches: none or few in excellent condition First signs of wear Possible Action:	Asphalt 6 Transverse cracks: < 10' apart Block cracking: 6'-10' Blocks (large, stable) Cracks open ¼" - ¼" Surface raveling: slight Patches: few in good condition Polishing or flushing: slight, moderate <u>Sound structural condition</u>	Asphalt 5 ◆ Block cracking: 1' - 5' blocks ◆ Longitudinal cracks: first signs, at edge ◆ Secondary cracks: first signs Cracks open >%" Surface raveling: moderate Patches/wedging: good condition Flushing & polishing: extensive, severe <u>Sound structural condition</u>
Maintain with crack seal, fog seal	Possible Action: Maintain with sealcoat	Possible Action: Maintain with sealcoat or thin overlay
Aspirat 4 + Block cracking: <1' blocks • Wheel-path cracking (longitudinal) • Rutting: ½" - 1" deep Transverse cracks: slight erosion Longitudinal cracks: slight erosion Surface raveling: severe Patches: fair condition First signs of structural weakening Possible Action: Structural overlay >2" Underseal	Block cracking: severe (like alligator) Alligator cracking: initial, < 25% Rutting: 1"- 2" deep Transverse cracks: extensive erosion Longitutinal cracks: extensive erosion Patches: fair/poor condition Potholes: occasional Possible Action: Structural overlay > 2" Patching & repair prior to an overlay Milling to extend overlay life	Aspiran 2 Alligator cracks: >25% Rutting or distortion: >2" Cracks: closely spaced, with erosion Patches: extensive, in poor condition Potholes: frequent Possible Action: Reconstruction with base repair Crush and shape Asphalt 1 Like PASER 2 but with visible base and: Surface integrity: lost Surface integrity: lost Surface distense: extensive Possible Action:

General Rating Tips

Rate surface distress, not ride quality. Be aware of cracks in the wheel path; they can be hard to see and do not affect the ride.

Disregard the shoulder. Rate only the driveable pavement, edge line to edge line.

Do not ignore reflective cracks. Rate by assessing the type of crack (e.g. transverse, longitudinal, alligator).

Rate the current surface condition. If construction is in progress (i.e., work is active) but you are driving on the old surface, rate the new surface. Some barrels by the roadside is *not* construction in progress.

Rate the lane with the worst condition when lanes have differing conditions. For variable surface types, rate the worst lane and select it as the Surface Subtype.

Rate what you see, not what distresses you think might happen in the future.

Rate roads with the same scrutiny regardless of their use, ownership, or functional class. Rotting often has visual cues like plow scars. Get out and measure using a straight edge and tape measure. Use caution! Rutting measurement changes are detailed in the TAMC Data Collection Training Manual's "Michigan-specific Asphalt Road Rating Guide' section, page 7.

Composite Pavement consists of a concrete pavement overlaid with asphalr, rate it based on the uppermost surface (e.g. asphalr); and note the Surface Subtype as composite A repaired concrete pavement's highest rating is a 9. While it may have had concrete joint repairs, no other defects can be present and the condition is "like new". Note, this is nor what the Concrete #ASER Monual says.

Seakoat pavements are seakoat over gravel whereas seakoat treatment is seakoat applied over appliel See pages 6-7 of the TAMC Data Collection Manual for rating seakoat pavements. "With proactive seakoat treatments, do not downgrade an asplait PASER 9 or 10 (no defects) to an asphalt PASER 8 because of the treatment. Rate it based on the distresses that are visible (see TAMC Data Collection Training Manual's "Proactive Seakoat Treatments on Asphalt PASER 9" section, page 8).

No Defects

Less than 1 year old More than 1 year old





Priority Distresses

Denoted on the Cheat Sheet
Transverse Crack Spacing

>40 feet apart10 feet to 40 feet apartLess than 10 feet apart



Block Cracking Size

6' to 10' blocks 1' to 5' blocks Less than 1' blocks Severe





Longitudinal Edge Cracks

First signs



Secondary Cracks

First Signs







Structural Distresses

When present the *highest* possible rating is a 4

Wheel Path Cracking

Any consistent amount





Rutting

1⁄2" to 1" deep 1" to 2" deep

Greater than 2" deep



Alligator Cracking

Less than 25% Greater than 25%





Asphalt PASER

Same Distresses as PASER2Severe Loss of integrity

Visible base



Non-Priority Distresses

Listed on the Cheat Sheet

Polishing or Flushing

Slight to moderate

Extensive to severe





Raveling

Very slight Slight (loss of fines) Moderate (loss of aggregate) 5 Severe

4



Crack or Joint Width

Tight or sealed Open less than ¹⁄₄" Open ¹⁄₄" to ¹⁄₂"

Open more than $\frac{1}{2}$ "



Patching

Few, all excellent7Few, all good6Good condition (wedging)5Fair condition4Fair/poor condition3

Extensive, all poor





Repair Resets





No Defects

Less than 1 year old More than 1 year old





Surface Wear

Light, in wheel path Light





Pop Outs

Few Minor Extensive but sound



Joint Condition

Partial loss of sealant

Some open

Most open

Spalling (first signs)

Moderate spalling, broken spalls

Severe spalling (most slabs)

Failed



6



Crack Condition

Isolated meander (tight) Isolated transverse (tight) More frequent and open 1⁄4" Spalling (first signs) Moderate spalling, broken spalls Several shattered slabs, stable Severe spalling (most slabs)

Extensive, severe and patched



6







Map Cracks

Slight Minor Over 50% of surface





Manhole Cracks

Isolated (tight) Some





Scaling or Surface Spalling

Slight (first signs) Minor Up to 25% of surface 25% to 50% of surface Over 50% of surface

Extensive





Shallow Reinforcement







Polishing

25% to 50% of surface

Over 50% of surface





Corner Cracks

Several (tight) Multiple (broken pieces) Missing pieces or patched

5



Settlement or Heaves







Faulting

Up to ¼" Up to ½" Up to 1"





Durability Cracking

Evident



Asphalt Patches

Few, all in good condition **7** Few, showing distress Extensive, fair to poor Extensive, failed

Extensive potholes





Sealcoat Cheat Sheet

Michigan Sealcoat Rating Guide

	Rating	Condition/Defects	Remedy/Action	Typical Age (years)
GOOD	10	New construction	None	< 1 year
	9	Like new	None	1 to 3
	8	First signs of distress Edge distress limited	Routine maintenance Minor edge seal	3 to 5
FAIR	7	Minor distress Edge distress <5%, Lane distress <5%, OR Raveling <5%	Minor asphalt or spray-injection patching Possible single-application sealcoat	4 to 6
	6	Moderate distress Edge distress <10%, Lane distress <10%, OR Raveling <10%	Moderate asphalt or spray-injection patching Single-application sealcoat	5 to 7
	5	Distressed Edge distress <20%, Lane distress <20%, OR Raveling <20%	Moderate asphalt or spray-injection patching Single-application sealcoat With up to 50% double-application sealcoat	6 to 8
POOR	4	Edge distress <30%, Lane distress <30%, OR Rutting of ½" to 1"	Asphalt or spray-injection patching and double-application sealcoat	7 to 9
	3	Edge distress <50%, Lane distress <50%, OR Rutting of 1" to 2"	Wedge and/or asphalt or spray-injection patching and double- or triple-application sealcoat Possible crush-and-shape first	8 to 10
	2	Edge distress <50%, Lane distress <50%, OR Rutting greater than 2"	Reconstruct by crush-and-shape prior to new sealcoat surface, possible return to gravel	>9
	1	Extensive distress >50% of surface area	Reconstruction by crush-and-shape prior to new sealcoat surface, or return to gravel	>10
Not rated	0			

NOTE: To be used for Michigan TAMC data collection on sealcoat pavements instead of PASER Sealcoat Manual





















No Defects

Less than 1 year old More than 1 year old

Edge Distress

Limited, first signs







Edge Distress, Lane Distress, or Raveling









Edge Distress or Lane Distress



>50% with visible base


Poor

Rutting





Rating Demonstration

Rating Demonstration

1























What were the distresses?



Final Thoughts

Upcoming Trainings & Final Thoughts

Review PASER Manuals and print Cheat Sheets prior to next training





Michigan Technological University • Department of Civil, Environmental, & Geospatial Engineering

PASER TRAINING

CLASS TWO DAY TWO

Sponsored by:



TAMC Michigan Transportation Asset Management Council

Housekeeping

Please use the Q&A pod for questions

- Your questions are submitted to presenters only
- You can submit questions anonymously

Pavement photos

If you have photos please submit them to us! ctt@mtu.edu

Be sure to fill out the self-certification survey!



E-mailed Certificates of Attendance





Sealcoat Rating Exercises











a the instant harden

Asphalt Rating Exercises



























Concrete Rating Exercises










Typical Crack



























Тį







Looking West

1 Avia

No CHARTER

I THE PROPERTY

Istate the

































Michigan Technological University • Department of Civil, Environmental, & Geospatial Engineering

PASER TRAINING

CLASS TWO DAY TWO BONUS EXERCISES

Sponsored by:



Final Thoughts

Upcoming Trainings & Final Thoughts

Safety when Rating

IBR Training – June 25th 9:00am-11:00am

Online & Free

Complete Evaluation

Additional PASER Rating Practice

Contact Information

Center for Technology & Training (CTT)

General email	<u>ctt@mtu.edu</u>
Pete Torola	pjtorola@mtu.edu – Condition assessment
Ingrid Sandberg	iasandbe@mtu.edu – Condition assessment
Scott Bershing	<u>sjbershi@mtu.edu</u> – Roadsoft questions

Transportation Asset Management Council (TAMC)

Website	https://www.michigan.gov/mic/tamc
Help Desk Phone	(248) 392-1385
Sarah Plumer	splumer@hrcengr.com – Contracting/reporting