# DESIGN OF HIGHWAY BRIDGE ABUTMENTS AND FOUNDATIONS

# PART 1

Project Manager: Juan Alcantar, P.E.



Submitted By:

Upul Attanayake, Ph.D., P.E. Presidential Innovation Professor Western Michigan University (269) 276 - 3217 upul.attanayake@wmich.edu Yufeng Hu, Ph.D., P.E. Master Faculty Specialist Western Michigan University (269) 276 - 3310 yufeng.hu@wmich.edu



# Western Michigan University

Department of Civil & Construction Engineering College of Engineering and Applied Sciences Kalamazoo, MI 49008-5316

# DISCLAIMER

This publication is disseminated in the interest of information exchange. The Michigan Department of Transportation (hereinafter referred to as MDOT) expressly disclaims any liability, of any kind, or for any reason, that might otherwise arise out of any use of this publication or the information or data provided in the publication. MDOT further disclaims any responsibility for typographical errors or accuracy of the information provided or contained within this information. MDOT makes no warranties or representations whatsoever regarding the quality, content, completeness, suitability, adequacy, sequence, accuracy, or timeliness of the information and data provided, or that the contents represent standards, specifications, or regulations.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project is funded by the Michigan Department of Transportation. This project is carried out by the Michigan Department of Transportation Center of Excellence for Structural Durability at Western Michigan University. We, the authors would like to acknowledge Mr. Juan Alcantar as the project manager and the advisory panel members for their assistance in finding relevant information, reviewing the drafts, and responding to our questions in a timely manner to successfully complete this example. We appreciate the contribution of Ms. Kanchani Basnayake and Mr. Harsha Amunugama to the development of this example.

### Table of Contents

### **Section 1 Design Criteria**

#### Section 2 Abutment with Spread Footing

Step 2.1 Preliminary Abutment Dimensions

Step 2.2 Application of Dead Load

Step 2.3 Application of Live Load

**Step 2.4 Application of Other Loads** 

**Step 2.5 Combined Load Effects** 

Step 2.6 Geotechnical Design of the Footing

Step 2.7 Backwall Design

Step 2.8 Abutment Wall Design

**Step 2.9 Structural Design of the Footing** 

Appendix 2.A Braking Force and Wind Load Calculation

Appendix 2.B Sliding Resistance Check for Spread Footings on Clay

#### Section 3 Abutment with Spread Footing and EPS Backfill

Step 3.1 Preliminary Abutment Dimensions

Step 3.2 Application of Dead Load

Step 3.3 Application of Live Load

**Step 3.4 Application of Other Loads** 

**Step 3.5 Combined Load Effects** 

Step 3.6 Geotechnical Design of the Footing

Step 3.7 Backwall Design

Step 3.8 Abutment Wall Design

#### Step 3.9 Structural Design of the Footing

### **Section 4 Abutment with Piles**

**Step 4.1 Preliminary Abutment Dimensions** 

Step 4.2 Application of Dead Load

Step 4.3 Application of Live Load

Step 4.4 Application of Other Loads

Step 4.5 Combined Load Effects

Step 4.6 Pile Size and Layout Design

Step 4.7 Pile Capacity Check

Step 4.8 Backwall Design

Step 4.9 Abutment Wall Design

Step 4.10 Structural Design of the Footing

Appendix 4.A Tremie Seal Design



### Description

This example illustrates the design of an abutment with shallow and deep (pile) foundations for an interstate freeway bridge. The design is implemented in accordance with the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) policies published as of 09/30/2022. The requirements of the 9<sup>th</sup> Edition of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification; as modified and supplemented by the Bridge Design Manual (BDM), Bridge Design Guides (BDG), and 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction (SSFC); are followed. Certain material and design parameters are selected to be in compliance with MDOT practice reflected in the Bridge Design System (BDS), the MDOT legacy software.

This step provides the design criteria, the bridge information, material properties, soil types and properties, and loads from the superstructure analysis.

Page	Content
06	Bridge Information
07	Material Properties
08	Reinforcing Steel Concrete Cover Requirements
08	Soil Types and Properties
08	Loads from Superstructure

### **Bridge Information**

This is a zero-skew, 200-ft long, two-span continuous, interstate freeway bridge. Each span consists of seven steel plate girders spaced at 9 ft - 8  $\frac{5}{8}$  in. on center. The vertical profile and typical cross-section of the bridge are shown below. The girders are designed for composite behavior with a 9-in. thick cast-in-place reinforced concrete deck to resist superimposed dead, live, and impact loads. The superstructure design is presented in the *Two-Span Continuous Bridge Steel Plate Girder Design Example* developed by Attanayake et al. (2021), which is cited in this example as the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example*.

Vertical Profile



Note: The type of barrier used in this example is for illustrative purposes only. It is the section used in the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example* to provide superstructure loads for this design. The BDG provides standard barrier section details.

Height of bridge railing	$h_{Railing} := 3ft + 4in = 3$	3.33 ft
Haunch thickness	t <sub>Haunch</sub> := 1in	BDM 7.02.19-C
Overall depth of the girder at the abutment support	$d_{Girder} \coloneqq 35in$	Steel Plate Girder Design Example
Material Properties		
Reinforced concrete unit weight	$W_c := 150 \frac{lb}{ft^3}$	
Concrete 28-day compressive strength	f <sub>c</sub> := 3ksi	
Concrete density modification factor for normal weight concrete	$\lambda := 1$	LRFD 5.4.2.8
Concrete modulus of rupture	$f_r := 0.24 \cdot \lambda \sqrt{(f_c \cdot ksi)} =$	0.42·ksi LRFD 5.4.2.6
Yield strength of reinforcing steel	f <sub>y</sub> := 60ksi	
Concrete unit weight	$W_{con} := 145 \frac{lb}{ft^3}$	LRFD Table 3.5.1-1
Correction factor for the source of aggre	egate $K_1 := 1$	
Concrete modulus of elasticity	$E_{c} := 120000 \cdot K_{1} \cdot \left(\frac{W_{c}}{1000}\right)$	$\frac{\cos n}{0} \frac{1b}{ft^3} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{f_c}{ksi}\right)^{0.33} \cdot ksi  \text{LRFD Eq.} 5.4.2.4-1$
	$E_c = 3.63 \times 10^3 \cdot ksi$	
Steel modulus of elasticity	E <sub>s</sub> := 29000ksi	
Nominal diameter and cross-section area of reinforcing steel bars	Dia(bar) := 0.5in if bar = 4 0.625in if bar = 5 0.75in if bar = 6 0.875in if bar = 7 1in if bar = 8 1.128in if bar = 9 1.27in if bar = 10 1.41in if bar = 11	Area(bar) := $0.2in^2$ if bar = 4 $0.31in^2$ if bar = 5 $0.44in^2$ if bar = 6 $0.6in^2$ if bar = 7 $0.79in^2$ if bar = 8 $1in^2$ if bar = 9

### **Reinforcing Steel Concrete Cover Requirements**

BDG 5.16.01, 5.18.01, 5.22.01

**Compacted Sand**,

LRFD Table 3.5.1-1

The minimum concrete cover:

4 in. for the top and bottom of footing 3 in. for walls against soil

Backwall back cover	$Cover_{bw} := 3i$
Abutment wall cover	Cover <sub>wall</sub> := 3
Footing top and bottom cover	$Cover_{ft} := 4in$

### **Soil Types and Properties**

Bridge designers must interact closely with the Geotechnical Service Section since site conditions may make each substructure design unique.

Soil boring results showed the following soil profile. The Geotechnical Service Section uses this information to determine applicable bearing capacity, settlement, sliding resistance, etc.

Depth (ft)	Soil type	Total unit weight, γs (pcf)	φ', degree
0-25	Fine to coarse sands	120	30
25-75	Gravelly sands	125	36
75-90	Fine to coarse sands	120	30
90-130	Gravels	125	38

The groundwater table is not located within the vicinity of the foundation.

Unit weight of backfill soil

The active lateral earth pressure coefficient

### Loads from Superstructure

#### **Dead Load**

The superstructure dead load reactions at each girder end are taken from the Steel Plate Girder Design Example.

Dead load reactions at the exterior girder end supports	Table 12 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example
Weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC)	$R_{DCEx} := 44.6 kip$
Weight of future wearing surface (DW)	$R_{DWEx} := 8.0 kip$
Dead load reactions at the interior girder end supports	Table 13 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example
Weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC)	$R_{DCIn} := 54.3 kip$

:= 0.12 kcf

#### Live Load

MDOT uses a modified version of the HL-93 loading specified in the LRFD Specifications. A single design truck load, a single 60-kip load (axle load), a two design truck load for continuous spans, and a design lane load are multiplied by a factor of 1.2 to make the design loading designated as HL-93 Mod.

Factor for HL-93 Mod	$f_{HL93Mod} := 1.2$	BDM 7.01.04-A
Dynamic load allowance	IM := 0.33	LRFD Table 3.6.2.1-1

According to the calculation presented in the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example*, the truck + lane load combination resulted in the maximum and minimum girder end reactions over the abutment. The unfactored girder support reactions for a single lane loaded case are listed below.

Maximum and minimum girder reactions due to truck load:

 $V_{TruckMax} := 63.9 kip$   $V_{TruckMin} := -5.9 kip$ 

Maximum and minimum girder reactions due to lane load:

 $V_{LaneMax} := 28.1 kip$   $V_{LaneMin} := -3.5 kip$ 

Table A-4 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

Table A-4 of the Steel Plate Girder Design Example

# Section 2 Design of Abutment with a Spread Footing

# **Step 2.1 Preliminary Abutment Dimensions**

### Description

This step presents the selected preliminary abutment dimensions.

The selection of an optimal abutment type depends on the site conditions, cost considerations, superstructure geometry, and aesthetics. The common types include cantilever, counterfort, curtain wall, integral or semi-integral, and spill-through abutments.	BDM 7.03.01
A concrete cantilever abutment is considered optimal for the selected site and the structure.	
<ul> <li>MDOT Bridge Design Manual lists the following minimum requirements:</li> <li>The minimum wall thickness for abutments is 2 ft.</li> <li>The minimum thickness of footings is normally 2 ft - 6 in When the wall thickness at its</li> </ul>	BDM 7.03.01C
base becomes 3 ft or greater, the footing thickness is to be increased to 3 ft. Footing	BDM 7.03.02A
<ul><li>thickness is defined in 6 in. increments.</li><li>The minimum footing width for cantilever abutments is 6 ft.</li></ul>	BDM 7.03.01B

The designers select the preliminary dimensions based on state-specific standards and past experience. The preliminary footing dimensions are selected such that the resultant of the vertical loads falls within the middle one-third. As needed, the guidelines shown in the following figure can be used to establish the initial dimensions and check with the minimum requirements in the BDM.



The following figure shows the selected abutment geometry and dimensional variables:



The preliminary dimensions selected for this example are given below.

Abutment length



This abutment includes an independent cantilevered backwall, similar to the one shown in BDG 6.20.03A.

Backwall height	$h_{backwall} := 4.25 ft$
Backwall thickness	$t_{backwall} := 1.5 ft$
Abutment wall design height	$h_{wall} := 17.54 ft$

The thickness of an abutment wall is controlled by several factors including the space required to fit bearings and anchor bolts with an adequate edge distance. Since the bearing pad design is not included in this example, a 3ft-2in. thick abutment wall is selected by referring to a similar bridge to provide an adequate space to accommodate bearings and edge distances.

Abutment wall thickness	$t_{wall} := 3ft + 2in = 3.17 ft$
Distance from the toe to the front face of the abutment wall	$l_{toe} := 4ft + 7in = 4.58 ft$

Distance from the heel to the back face of the abutment wall	$l_{heel} := 9ft + 3in = 9.25 ft$
Distance from center of the bearing pad to the back face of the abutment wall	$l_{brtowall} \coloneqq 2ft + 4in = 2.33 ft$
Footing width	$B_{footing} := l_{toe} + l_{heel} + t_{wall} = 17  ft$
Footing length	$L_{footing} := 65.75 ft$
Footing thickness	t <sub>footing</sub> := 3ft
Toe fill depth to the bottom of the footing	$h_{toeDepth} := 7ft$
Note: Bottoms of footings are normally set 4 ft below the existi avoid frost heave.	ing or proposed ground line to BDM 7.03.02 D
. Passive earth pressure is excluded from the footing design	BDM 7.03.02 F

# Step 2.2 Application of Dead Load

## Description

This step describes the application of the dead load on the abutment.

The common practice is to apply superstructure dead load as a uniformly distributed load over the length of the abutment. This is accomplished by adding exterior and interior girder end dead load reactions and dividing this quantity by the abutment length.

Dead load of superstructure

Weight of structural components and non-structural attachments (DC)

Weight of future wearing surface (DW)

Backwall weight

Abutment wall weight

Footing weight

$$DC_{Sup} := \frac{2 \cdot R_{DCEx} + (N_{beams} - 2) \cdot R_{DCIn}}{L_{abut}} = 5.66 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$DW_{Sup} := \frac{2 \cdot R_{DWEx} + (N_{beams} - 2) \cdot R_{DWIn}}{L_{abut}} = 0.89 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$DC_{backwall} := h_{backwall} \cdot t_{backwall} \cdot W_{c} = 0.96 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$DC_{wall} := h_{wall} \cdot t_{wall} \cdot W_{c} = 8.33 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$DC_{footing} := B_{footing} \cdot t_{footing} \cdot W_{c} = 7.65 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

# Step 2.3 Application of Live Load

# Description

This step describes the application of live load on the abutment.

### Page Content

- 17 Live Load on the Backwall
- 17 Live Load on the Abutment Wall
- 18 Live Load on the Footing

### Live Load on the Backwall

The live load on the bridge has no impact on the backwall.

The live load on the approach slab is represented by a live load surcharge. The live load surcharge results in a lateral load on the backwall. Please refer to Step 2.4 for further details.

### Live Load on the Abutment Wall

Depending on the number of design lanes, a multiple presence factor is applied to the HL-93 truck and lane loads.

```
MPF(lanes) := 1.2 if lanes = 1

1.0 if lanes = 2

0.85 if lanes = 3

0.65 otherwise
```

#### Live Load on Bridge Superstructure

The total live load girder end reactions is divided by the abutment length to calculate the load on a per foot basis.

Note: Even though the LRFD Specifications recommend including the dynamic impact in the design of substructures that are not completely buried, the MDOT practice is to exclude it from the design of bridge abutments.

1 ----

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lanes} &:= 1 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall1}} \coloneqq \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}} = 2.08 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 2 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall2}} \coloneqq \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}} = 3.46 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 3 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall3}} \coloneqq \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}} = 4.42 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 4 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall3}} \coloneqq \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}} = 4.5 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 5 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall4}} \coloneqq \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}}} = 5.63 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 5 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall5}} \coloneqq \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}}} = 5.63 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 5 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall5}} \coloneqq \frac{1 \text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}}} = 5.63 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 5 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall5}} \coloneqq \frac{1 \text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}}} = 5.63 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 5 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall5}} \coloneqq \frac{1 \text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}}} = 5.63 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 5 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall5}} \div \frac{1 \text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{abut}}}} \\ = 5 \quad \text{R}_{\text{LLWall5}} \div \frac{1 \text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{R}_{\text{LLWall5}} \oplus \frac{1 \text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{R}_{\text{LLWall5} \oplus \frac{1 \text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{R}_{\text{LLWall5}} \oplus \frac{1 \text{lane$$

The controlling live load on the abutment wall is

$$R_{LLWallMax} := \max(R_{LLWall1}, R_{LLWall2}, R_{LLWall3}, R_{LLWall4}, R_{LLWall5}) = 5.63 \cdot \frac{k_{1P}}{ft}$$

#### Live Load on Bridge Approach

The live load on the approach is represented by a surcharge load. This surcharge results in a lateral load on the abutment wall. Please refer to Step 2.4 for further details.

### Live Load on the Footing

#### Live Load on Bridge Superstructure

The total live load girder reactions is divided by the footing length to calculate the load on a per foot basis. The dynamic impact is not included in the design of foundations.

LRFD 3.6.2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lanes} &:= 1 \qquad \text{R}_{\text{LLFooting1}} &:= \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{footing}}} = 2.01 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 2 \qquad \text{R}_{\text{LLFooting2}} &:= \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{footing}}} = 3.36 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 3 \qquad \text{R}_{\text{LLFooting3}} &:= \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{footing}}} = 4.28 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 4 \qquad \text{R}_{\text{LLFooting4}} &:= \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{footing}}} = 4.37 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \\ \text{lanes} &:= 5 \qquad \text{R}_{\text{LLFooting5}} &:= \frac{\frac{\text{lanes} \cdot \left( \text{V}_{\text{TruckMax}} + \text{V}_{\text{LaneMax}} \right) \cdot \text{f}_{\text{HL93Mod} \cdot \text{MPF}(\text{lanes})}{\text{L}_{\text{footing}}}} = 5.46 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}} \end{aligned}$$

The controlling live load on the footing is

 $R_{LLFootingMax} := \max(R_{LLFooting1}, R_{LLFooting2}, R_{LLFooting3}, R_{LLFooting4}, R_{LLFooting5}) = 5.46 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

#### Live Load on Bridge Approach

Live load on the approach is accounted by a surcharge load. Please refer to Step 2.4 for further details.

# **Step 2.4 Application of Other Loads**

### Description

This step describes the application of braking force, wind load, earth load, and temperature load.

Page	Content
20	<b>Braking Force</b>

- 20 Wind Load
- 20 Earth Load
- 21 Temperature Load

### **Braking Force**

Since the abutment in this example has expansion bearings, the horizontal component of the braking force is resisted by the fixed bearings located at the pier. Therefore, a horizontal braking force is not applied at the abutment. The braking force calculation is presented in Appendix 2.A and the pier design example.

Note: Although there is a possibility to develop a vertical force component at the bearings due to the braking force applied at 6 ft above the bridge deck, MDOT practice is to exclude this load from substructure design.

### Wind Load

Since the abutment has expansion bearings, the longitudinal component of the wind load on superstructure is resisted by the fixed bearings at the pier. The relevant calculations are presented in the pier design example.

Note: Although the transverse component of the wind load acts on the abutment, it is often small and does not impact the design. The MDOT practice is to exclude this load from the abutment design.

The wind load calculation is described in the pier design example.

### Earth Load

The earth load includes lateral earth pressure, live load surcharge, and vertical earth pressure on the footing. As per the geotechnical engineer, the groundwater table is not located in the vicinity of the foundation. Therefore, the effect of hydrostatic pressure is excluded. Hydrostatic pressure should be avoided if possible in all abutment and retaining wall design cases through the design of an appropriate drainage system.

#### Lateral Load Due to Lateral Earth Pressure

The lateral pressure and the resultant load are calculated. This load acts at a distance of one third of the height from the base of the components being investigated.

#### **Backwall**

Lateral earth pressure at the base

Lateral load

#### Abutment Wall

Lateral earth pressure at the base

Lateral load

#### Footing

Lateral earth pressure at the base

Lateral load

#### Vertical Earth Load on the Footing

Back side (heel)

Front side (toe)

 $p_{bw} \coloneqq k_{a} \cdot \gamma_{s} \cdot h_{backwall} = 0.15 \cdot ksf$   $P_{EHBackwall} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \cdot p_{bw} \cdot h_{backwall} = 0.33 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

$$p_{\text{wall}} \coloneqq k_{a} \cdot \gamma_{s} \cdot \left( h_{\text{backwall}} + h_{\text{wall}} \right) = 0.78 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

$$P_{\text{EHWall}} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \cdot p_{\text{wall}} \cdot \left( h_{\text{backwall}} + h_{\text{wall}} \right) = 8.55 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$$

$$p_{ft} := k_a \cdot \gamma_s \cdot \left( h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing} \right) = 0.89 \cdot ksf$$

$$P_{EHFooting} := \frac{1}{2} \cdot p_{ft} \cdot \left( h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing} \right) = 11.06 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$EV_{earthBk} := \gamma_{s} \cdot l_{heel} \cdot \left(h_{backwall} + h_{wall}\right) = 24.19 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$EV_{earthFt} := \gamma_{s} \cdot l_{toe} \cdot \left(h_{toeDepth} - t_{footing}\right) = 2.2 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

#### Live Load Surcharge

Live load surcharge is applied to account for a vehicular load acting on the backfill surface within a distance equal to one-half the wall height behind the back face of the wall.

LRFD 3.11.6.4

Height of the abutment

 $h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing} = 24.79 \text{ ft}$ 

Note: The equivalent height of soil for the surcharge load is defined as a function of the abutment height.

Equivalent height of soil for the surcharge load	$h_{eq} := 2ft$	LRFD Table 3.11.6.4-1
Lateral surcharge pressure	$\sigma_{\rm p} := k_{\rm a} \cdot \gamma_{\rm S} \cdot h_{\rm eq} = 0.07 \cdot \rm ksf$	LRFD Eq. 3.11.6.4-1
Backwall		
Lateral load	$P_{LSBackwall} \coloneqq \sigma_p \cdot h_{backwall} = 0.3$	$1 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$
Abutment Wall		
Lateral load	$P_{LSWall} := \sigma_p \cdot (h_{backwall} + h_{wall})$	$= 1.57 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$
Footing		1.1.1
Lateral load	$P_{LSFooting} \coloneqq \sigma_{p} \cdot \left( h_{backwall} + h_{wall} \right)$	$(1 + t_{\text{footing}}) = 1.78 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$
Vertical load	$V_{LSFooting} := \gamma_s \cdot l_{heel} \cdot h_{eq} = 2.22 \cdot \frac{k}{2}$	tip ft

### **Temperature Load**

The forces transferred from the superstructure to the substructure due to temperature is influenced by the shear stiffness of the bearing pads.

Thermal expansion coefficient of steel (/ºF)

 $\alpha := 6.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ 

Note: MDOT uses a 45° F drop and 35° F rise from the temperature at the time of construction.

BDM 7.01.07 cold climate temperature range

construction.temperature rangeContraction and expansion temperatures $T_{contraction} := 45$  $T_{expansion} := 35$ Bridge superstructure contraction $\Delta_{TContr} := \alpha \cdot L_{span} \cdot T_{contraction} = 0.35 \cdot in$ Bridge superstructure expansion $\Delta_{TExp} := \alpha \cdot L_{span} \cdot T_{expansion} = 0.27 \cdot in$ Shear modulus of the elastomer $G_{bearing} := 100 \frac{1b}{in^2}$ BDM 7.02.05CPlan view area of the bearing pad $A_{bearing} := 22in \cdot 9in = 198 \cdot in^2$ Total elastomer thickness

Since the pier bearings are fixed, the total superstructure deformation is imposed on the abutment bearings.

The force acting on a bearing due to superstructure contraction

Total force acting on the abutment due to superstructure contraction

The force acting on a bearing due to superstructure expansion

Total force acting on the abutment due to superstructure expansion

$$H_{buContr} := \frac{G_{bearing} \cdot A_{bearing} \cdot \Delta_{TContr}}{h_{rt}} = 2.53 \cdot kip$$

$$IRFD Eq.$$

$$I4.6.3.1-2$$

$$TU_{Contr} := \frac{N_{beams} \cdot H_{buContr}}{L_{abut}} = 0.28 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$H_{buExp} := \frac{G_{bearing} \cdot A_{bearing} \cdot \Delta_{TExp}}{h} = 1.97 \cdot kip$$

$$IRFD Eq.$$

$$I4.6.3.1-2$$

$$TU_{Exp} := \frac{N_{beams} \cdot H_{buExp}}{L_{abut}} = 0.22 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

h<sub>rt</sub>

## **Step 2.5 Combined Load Effects**

### Description

This step presents the procedure for combining all load effects and calculating total factored forces and moments acting at the base of the backwall, abutment wall, and footing.

### Page Contents

- 25 Forces and Moments at the Base of the Backwall
- 27 Forces and Moments at the Base of the Abutment Wall
- 31 Forces and Moments at the Base of the Footing

Strength I, Strength III, Strength V, and Service I limit states are considered for the analysis and design of an abutment.

LRFD 3.4.1

Strength I = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75LL + 1.75BR + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.75LS + 0.5TU

Strength III = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.0WS + 0.5TU

Strength V = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.35LL + 1.35BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.35LS + 0.5TU

Service I = 1.0DC + 1.0DW + 1.0LL + 1.0BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.0EH + 1.0EV + 1.0LS + 1.0TU

- BR = vehicular braking force
- DC = dead load of structural components and nonstructural attachments
- DW = dead load of future wearing surface and utilities
- EH = horizontal earth pressure load
- EV = vertical pressure from the earth fill
- LL = vehicular live load
- LS = live load surcharge
- WL = wind on live load
- WS = wind load on structure
- TU = force effect due to uniform temperature

Limit states that are not shown either do not control or are not applicable. Generally, Strength III or Strength V may control the design of abutments with fixed bearings when the wind load is considered.

Note: Since the MDOT practice is to exclude wind load from the abutments design, only Strength I and Service I limit states are included in this section.

Four load cases are considered in the design of an abutment:

#### BDM 7.03.01

Case I Construction state: abutment built and backfilled to grade.

Case II Bridge open to traffic with traffic loading on the approach only.

Case III Bridge with traffic on it and no load on the approach.

Case IV Contraction: Loading forces of Case II plus the effects of temperature contraction in the deck transmitted to the abutment.

Since Case IV always governs over Case II for the bridge abutment selected for this example (independent cantilever abutment), only Cases I, III, and IV are considered.

The temperature force in Load Case IV is due to contraction, therefore

$$TU := TU_{Contr} = 0.28 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

The base of the backwall, the base of the abutment wall, and the base of the footing are the three critical locations where the force effects need to be combined and analyzed for the design of an abutment. Horizontal loads parallel to the longitudinal axis of the abutment are not considered for backwall and abutment wall design because of the high moment of inertia about the longitudinal axis of the bridge. However, such loads, even though relatively small, are considered at the base of the footing.

### Forces and Moments at the Base of the Backwall DC<sub>Backwall</sub> DC<sub>Backwall</sub> PLSBackwall -PEHBackwall P<sub>EHBackwall</sub>. ΣM at backwall centerline ΣM at backwall centerline LC I and III LC IV Strength I Strength I = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75LL + 1.75BR + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.75 LS + 0.5TU Load Case I $F_{VBwLC1StrI} := 1.25 \cdot DC_{backwall} = 1.2 \cdot \frac{kip}{fr}$ Factored vertical force Factored shear force parallel to the $V_{uBwLC1StrI} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHBackwall} = 0.49 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ transverse axis of the backwall $M_{uBwLC1StrI} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHBackwall} \cdot \frac{h_{backwall}}{3} = 0.69 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{3}$ Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the backwall Load Case III $F_{VBwLC3StrI} := 1.25 \cdot DC_{backwall} = 1.2 \cdot \frac{k_{1}p}{r}$ Factored vertical force Factored shear force parallel to the $V_{uBwLC3StrI} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHBackwall} = 0.49 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ transverse axis of the backwall $M_{uBwLC3StrI} \coloneqq 1.5 \cdot P_{EHBackwall} \cdot \frac{h_{backwall}}{3} = 0.69 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{4}$ Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the backwall Load Case IV $F_{VBwLC4StrI} := 1.25 \cdot DC_{backwall} = 1.2 \cdot \frac{kip}{4}$ Factored vertical force $V_{uBwLC4StrI} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHBackwall} + 1.75 \cdot P_{LSBackwall} = 1.02 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the backwall Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the backwall $M_{uBwLC4StrI} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHBackwall} \cdot \frac{h_{backwall}}{3} + 1.75 \cdot P_{LSBackwall} \cdot \frac{h_{backwall}}{2} = 1.83 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$

#### Service I

Service I = 1.0DC + 1.0DW + 1.0LL + 1.0BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.0EH + 1.0EV + 1.0LS + 1.0TU

Load Case IV related calculations are shown below since it controls the Service I limit.

Factored vertical force

 $F_{VBackwallSerI} := DC_{backwall} = 0.96 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the backwall

$$V_{uBackwallSerI} := P_{EHBackwall} + P_{LSBackwall} = 0.63 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the backwall

$$M_{uBackwallSerI} := P_{EHBackwall} \cdot \frac{h_{backwall}}{3} + P_{LSBackwall} \cdot \frac{h_{backwall}}{2}$$
$$M_{uBackwallSerI} = 1.11 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

#### Summary of Forces and Moments at the Base of the Backwall

Factored vertical force, F<sub>VBw</sub> (kip/ft)

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the backwall,  $V_{uBw}$  (kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	1.20	-
LC III	1.20	-
LC IV	1.20	0.96

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	0.49	-
LC III	0.49	-
LC IV	1.02	0.63

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the backwall,  $M_{uBw}$  (kip ft/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	0.69	-
LC III	0.69	-
LC IV	1.83	1.11

### Forces and Moments at the Base of the Abutment Wall

Load Cases I, III, and IV are considered. The superstructure dead and live loads and the uniform temperature induced loads are considered in addition to the backwall and abutment wall dead loads, lateral earth pressure, and lateral surcharge pressure.



#### Strength I

Strength I = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75LL + 1.75BR + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.75 LS + 0.5TU

Load Case I

Factored vertical force

$$F_{VWallLC1StrI} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \left( DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} \right) = 11.61 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the abutment wall

$$V_{uWallLC1StrI} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHWall} = 12.82 \cdot \frac{k_{IP}}{ft}$$

The backwall weight reduces the critical moment at the base of the abutment wall. This requires using the minimum load factor of 0.9 for the dead load (DC) instead of the factor 1.25 in the Strength I combination.

**LRFD 3.4.1** LFRD Table 3.4.1-2

Similar conditions are applied for the moments calculated about the longitudinal axis of the abutment wall for all the load cases and all the limit states.

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the abutment wall

$$M_{uWallLC1StrI} := 0.9 \cdot DC_{backwall} \cdot \frac{\left(t_{backwall} - t_{wall}\right)}{2} + 1.5 \cdot P_{EHWall} \cdot \frac{\left(h_{backwall} + h_{wall}\right)}{3} = 92.4 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Load Case III

Factored vertical force

$$F_{VWallLC3StrI} := 1.25 \cdot \left( DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} \right) + 1.5DW_{Sup} + 1.75R_{LLWallMax}$$

$$F_{VWallLC3StrI} = 29.86 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
d shear force parallel to the  
rse axis of the abutment wall
$$V_{uWallLC3StrI} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHWall} = 12.82 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Factore transverse axis of the abutment wall

$$\begin{split} M_{uWallLC3StrI} &\coloneqq 0.9 \cdot DC_{backwall} \cdot \frac{\left( t_{backwall} - t_{wall} \right)}{2} \dots \\ &+ \left( 1.25 \cdot DC_{Sup} + 1.5 \cdot DW_{Sup} + 1.75 \cdot R_{LLWallMax} \right) \cdot \left( l_{brtowall} - \frac{t_{wall}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.5 \cdot P_{EHWall} \cdot \frac{\left( h_{backwall} + h_{wall} \right)}{3} \\ &M_{uWallLC3StrI} = 106.09 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \end{split}$$

Load Case IV

Factored vertical force 
$$F_{VWallLC4StrI} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot (DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall}) + 1.5DW_{Sup} = 20.01 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
  
Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the abutment wall  $V_{uWallLC4StrI} \coloneqq 1.5 \cdot P_{EHWall} + 1.75 \cdot P_{LSWall} + 0.5TU = 15.7 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the abutment wall

$$\begin{split} M_{uWallLC4StrI} &\coloneqq 0.9 \cdot DC_{backwall} \cdot \frac{\left( \frac{t_{backwall} - t_{wall}}{2} \right)}{2} \dots \\ &+ \left( 1.25 \cdot DC_{Sup} + 1.5 \cdot DW_{Sup} \right) \cdot \left( l_{brtowall} - \frac{t_{wall}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.5 \cdot P_{EHWall} \cdot \frac{\left( \frac{h_{backwall} + h_{wall}}{3} \right)}{3} + 1.75 \cdot P_{LSWall} \cdot \frac{\left( \frac{h_{backwall} + h_{wall}}{2} \right)}{2} + 0.5 \cdot TU \cdot h_{wall} \\ M_{uWallLC4StrI} &= 131.04 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \end{split}$$

#### Service I

Service I = 1.0DC + 1.0DW + 1.0LL + 1.0BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.0EH + 1.0EV + 1.0LS + 1.0TU

#### Load Case I

Factored vertical force

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the abutment wall

 $F_{VWallLC1SerI} := DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} = 9.29 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$  $V_{uWallLC1SerI} := P_{EHWall} = 8.55 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the abutment wall

$$M_{uWallLC1SerI} \coloneqq DC_{backwall} \cdot \frac{\left(t_{backwall} - t_{wall}\right)}{2} + P_{EHWall} \cdot \frac{\left(h_{backwall} + h_{wall}\right)}{3}$$
$$M_{uWallLC1SerI} = 61.28 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Load Case III

Factored vertical force

$$F_{VWallLC3SerI} := \left(DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall}\right) + DW_{Sup} + R_{LLWallMax} = 21.46 \cdot \frac{\kappa p}{ft}$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the abutment wall

$$V_{uWallLC3SerI} := P_{EHWall} = 8.55 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the abutment wall

$$\begin{split} M_{uWallLC3SerI} &\coloneqq DC_{backwall} \cdot \frac{\left(t_{backwall} - t_{wall}\right)}{2} \dots \\ &+ \left(DC_{Sup} + DW_{Sup} + R_{LLWallMax}\right) \cdot \left(l_{brtowall} - \frac{t_{wall}}{2}\right) \dots \\ &+ P_{EHWall} \cdot \frac{\left(h_{backwall} + h_{wall}\right)}{3} \\ &\qquad M_{uWallLC3SerI} = 70.41 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \end{split}$$

1.1...

Load Case IV

Factored vertical force
$$F_{VWallLC4SerI} := (DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall}) + DW_{Sup}$$
 $F_{VWallLC4SerI} := 15.83 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ Factored shear force parallel to the  
transverse axis of the abutment wall $V_{uWallLC4SerI} := P_{EHWall} + P_{LSWall} + TU = 10.39 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

Fac transverse axis of the abutment wall

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the abutment wall

$$\begin{split} M_{u}WallLC4SerI &\coloneqq DC_{backwall} \cdot \frac{\left(t_{backwall} - t_{wall}\right)}{2} \dots \\ &+ \left(DC_{Sup} + DW_{Sup}\right) \cdot \left(l_{brtowall} - \frac{t_{wall}}{2}\right) \dots \\ &+ P_{EHWall} \cdot \frac{\left(h_{backwall} + h_{wall}\right)}{3} + P_{LSWall} \cdot \frac{\left(h_{backwall} + h_{wall}\right)}{2} + TU \cdot h_{wall} \\ M_{u}WallLC4SerI &= 88.15 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \end{split}$$

#### Summary of Forces and Moments at the Base of the Abutment Wall

Factored vertical force, F<sub>VWall</sub> (kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I	
LC I	11.61	9.29	
LC III	29.86	21.46	
LC IV	20.01	15.83	

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the abutment wall,  $V_{uWall}$  (kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	12.82	8.55
LC III	12.82	8.55
LC IV	15.70	10.39

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the abutment wall,  $M_{uWall}$  (kip ft/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	92.40	61.28
LC III	106.09	70.41
LC IV	131.04	88.15

### Forces and Moments at the Base of the Footing

Load Cases I, III, and IV are considered. In addition to all the loads considered for the abutment wall, weight of soil (i.e. the earth load on the footing toe and heel) and live load on the backwall are considered.

The dynamic load allowance is excluded from the live load for foundation components that are entirely below ground level.

LRFD 3.6.2.1



#### Strength I

Strength I = 1.25DC + 1.5DW + 1.75LL + 1.75BR + 1.5EH + 1.35EV + 1.75LS + 0.5TU

Load Case I

Factored vertical force

$$F_{VFtLC1StrI} := 1.25 \cdot (DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing}) + 1.35 \cdot (EV_{earthBk} + EV_{earthFt}) = 56.79 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

 $V_{uFtLC1StrI} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHFooting} = 16.59 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

The backfill soil weight reduces the critical moment at the base of the footing. This requires using the minimum load factor of 1.0 for the vertical earth load (EV) instead of the factor 1.35 in the Strength I combination. Similar conditions are applied for the moments calculated about the longitudinal axis of the footing for all the load cases.

LRFD 3.4.1 LFRD Table 3.4.1-2

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} M_{uFtLC1StrI} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot DC_{backwall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{backwall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + 1.25DC_{wall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{wall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.5 \cdot P_{EHFooting} \cdot \frac{(h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing})}{3} + 1.35EV_{earthFt} \cdot \left( \frac{B_{footing}}{2} - \frac{l_{toe}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.0 \cdot EV_{earthBk} \cdot \left( \frac{l_{heel}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) \\ & M_{uFtLC1StrI} = 87.92 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \end{split}$$

Load Case III

Factored vertical force  $F_{VFtLC3StrI} := 1.25 \cdot \left( DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing} \right) + 1.5DW_{Sup} \dots + 1.75R_{LLFootingMax} + 1.35 \cdot \left( EV_{earthBk} + EV_{earthFt} \right)$ 

$$F_{VFtLC3StrI} = 74.75 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$V_{uFtLC3StrI} \coloneqq 1.5 \cdot P_{EHFooting} = 16.59 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} M_{uFtLC3StrI} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot DC_{backwall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{backwall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + 1.25DC_{wall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{wall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ \left( 1.25 \cdot DC_{Sup} + 1.5 \cdot DW_{Sup} + 1.75 \cdot R_{LLFootingMax} \right) \cdot \left( l_{heel} + l_{brtowall} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.5 \cdot P_{EHFooting} \cdot \frac{\left( \frac{h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing}}{3} \right)}{3} \dots \\ &+ 1.0 \cdot EV_{earthBk} \cdot \left( \frac{l_{heel}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + 1.35 \cdot EV_{earthFt} \cdot \left( \frac{B_{footing}}{2} - \frac{l_{toe}}{2} \right) \\ &- M_{uFtLC3StrI} = 143.27 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \end{split}$$

Load Case IV

Factored vertical force

$$F_{VFtLC4StrI} := 1.25 \cdot (DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing}) + 1.5DW_{Sup} ... + 1.35 \cdot (EV_{earthFt} + EV_{earthBk}) + 1.75V_{LSFooting}$$

$$F_{VFtLC4StrI} = 69.08 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

$$V_{uFtLC4StrI} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHFooting} + 1.75 P_{LSFooting} + 0.5TU = 19.85 \cdot \frac{k_{1}p}{ft}$$

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}_{u}\mathsf{FtLC4StrI} &\coloneqq 1.25 \cdot \mathsf{DC}_{backwall} \cdot \left( \mathsf{l}_{heel} + \frac{\mathsf{t}_{backwall}}{2} - \frac{\mathsf{B}_{footing}}{2} \right) + 1.25\mathsf{DC}_{wall} \cdot \left( \mathsf{l}_{heel} + \frac{\mathsf{t}_{wall}}{2} - \frac{\mathsf{B}_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ \left( 1.25 \cdot \mathsf{DC}_{Sup} + 1.5 \cdot \mathsf{DW}_{Sup} \right) \cdot \left( \mathsf{l}_{heel} + \mathsf{l}_{brtowall} - \frac{\mathsf{B}_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.5 \cdot \mathsf{P}_{EHFooting} \cdot \frac{\left( \mathsf{h}_{backwall} + \mathsf{h}_{wall} + \mathsf{t}_{footing} \right)}{3} + 1.75\mathsf{V}_{LSFooting} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathsf{l}_{heel}}{2} - \frac{\mathsf{B}_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.75 \cdot \mathsf{P}_{LSFooting} \cdot \frac{\left( \mathsf{h}_{backwall} + \mathsf{h}_{wall} + \mathsf{t}_{footing} \right)}{2} + 1.0 \cdot \mathsf{EV}_{earthBk} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathsf{l}_{heel}}{2} - \frac{\mathsf{B}_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ 1.35 \cdot \mathsf{EV}_{earthFt} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathsf{B}_{footing}}{2} - \frac{\mathsf{l}_{toe}}{2} \right) + 0.5 \cdot \mathsf{TU} \cdot \left( \mathsf{h}_{wall} + \mathsf{t}_{footing} \right) \\ &\qquad \mathsf{M}_{u}\mathsf{FtLC4StrI} = 140.34 \cdot \frac{\mathsf{kip} \cdot \mathsf{ft}}{\mathsf{ft}} \end{split}$$

Service I

Service I = 1.0DC + 1.0DW + 1.0LL + 1.0BR + 1.0WS + 1.0WL + 1.0EH + 1.0EV + 1.0LS + 1.0TU Load Case I

Factored vertical force

$$F_{VFtLC1SerI} := DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing} + EV_{earthBk} + EV_{earthFt} = 43.32 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
  
force parallel to the

Factored shear force parallel to t transverse axis of the footing

 $V_{uFtLC1SerI} := P_{EHFooting} = 11.06 \cdot \frac{MP}{ft}$ 

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} M_{uFtLC1SerI} &\coloneqq DC_{backwall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{backwall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + DC_{wall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{wall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ P_{EHFooting} \cdot \frac{\left( \frac{h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing}}{3} \right)}{3} \dots \\ &+ EV_{earthBk} \cdot \left( \frac{l_{heel}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + EV_{earthFt} \cdot \left( \frac{B_{footing}}{2} - \frac{l_{toe}}{2} \right) \\ &M_{uFtLC1SerI} = 32.22 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \end{split}$$

Load Case III

Factored vertical force

$$F_{VFtLC3SerI} := DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing} + DW_{Sup} + R_{LLFootingMax} + (EV_{earthFt} + EV_{earthBk})$$

$$F_{VFtLC3SerI} = 55.33 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

$$V_{uFtLC3SerI} := P_{EHFooting} = 11.06 \cdot \frac{ki_l}{ft}$$

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} M_{uFtLC3SerI} &\coloneqq DC_{backwall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{backwall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + DC_{wall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{wall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ \left( DC_{Sup} + DW_{Sup} + R_{LLFootingMax} \right) \cdot \left( l_{heel} + l_{brtowall} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ P_{EHFooting} \cdot \frac{\left( \frac{h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing}}{3} \right)}{3} \dots \\ &+ EV_{earthBk} \cdot \left( \frac{l_{heel}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + EV_{earthFt} \cdot \left( \frac{B_{footing}}{2} - \frac{l_{toe}}{2} \right) \\ &M_{uFtLC3SerI} = 69.22 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \end{split}$$

Load Case IV

Factored vertical force
$$F_{VFtLC4SerI} \coloneqq DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing} + DW_{Sup} \dots$$
  
 $+ EV_{earthFt} + EV_{earthBk} + V_{LSFooting}$ Factored shear force parallel to the  
transverse axis of the footing $V_{uFtLC4SerI} \coloneqq P_{EHFooting} + P_{LSFooting} + TU = 13.12 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

$$\begin{split} M_{uFtLC4SerI} &\coloneqq DC_{backwall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{backwall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + DC_{wall} \cdot \left( l_{heel} + \frac{t_{wall}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ \left( DC_{Sup} + DW_{Sup} \right) \cdot \left( l_{heel} + l_{brtowall} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + P_{EHFooting} \cdot \frac{\left( h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing} \right)}{3} \dots \\ &+ EV_{earthBk} \cdot \left( \frac{l_{heel}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + EV_{earthFt} \cdot \left( \frac{B_{footing}}{2} - \frac{l_{toe}}{2} \right) \dots \\ &+ V_{LSFooting} \cdot \left( \frac{l_{heel}}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2} \right) + P_{LSFooting} \cdot \frac{\left( h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing} \right)}{2} \dots \\ &+ TU \cdot \left( h_{wall} + t_{footing} \right) \end{split}$$

#### Summary of Forces and Moments at the Base of the Footing

Factored vertical force,  $F_{VFt}$  (kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	56.79	43.32
LC III	74.75	55.33
LC IV	69.08	52.09

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing,  $V_{uFt}\,(kip/ft)$ 

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	16.59	11.06
LC III	16.59	11.06
LC IV	19.85	13.12

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing,  $M_{uFt} \left( kip \mbox{-} ft/ft \right)$ 

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	87.92	32.22
LC III	143.27	69.22
LC IV	140.34	71.62

# **Step 2.6 Geotechnical Design of the Footing**

### Description

This step presents the geotechnical design of a spread footing considering the following strength and serviceability limit states:

LRFD 10.6.1.1

- 1. bearing resistance strength limit state
- 2. settlement service limit state
- 3. sliding resistance strength limit state
- 4. load eccentricity (overturning)-strength limit state

Step 2.9 presents the evaluation of structural resistance of the footing (internal stability).

### Page Contents

- **37** Bearing Resistance Check
- 41 Settlement Check
- 41 Sliding Resistance Check
- 43 Eccentric Load Limitation (Overturning) Check
#### Forces and Moments at the Base of the Footing

Step 2.5 presents the load effects at the base of the footing under different load cases and limit states. A summary is presented in the following tables:

Factored vertical force, FVFt (kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	56.79	43.32
LC III	74.75	55.33
LC IV	69.08	52.09

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing,  $V_{uFt}$  (kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	16.59	11.06
LC III	16.59	11.06
LC IV	19.85	13.12

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing, MuFt (kip ft/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	87.92	32.22
LC III	143.27	69.22
LC IV	140.34	71.62

#### **Bearing Resistance Check**

For eccentrically loaded footings, the use of a reduced effective area is allowed for bearing resistance or settlement calculation. The point of load application shall be at the centroid of the reduced area.

Note: As a practice, the average pressure and the values at the toe and heel under different load cases and limit states are provided to the MDOT Geotechnical Service Section for verification.

This example presents the LRFD and MDOT methods.

#### Load Case I, Strength I

Factored vertical force

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

#### LRFD Method

A reduced effective footing width is used for bearing resistance and settlement design.

Effective footing width $B_{eff} := B_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_B = 13.9 \text{ ft}$ LRFD Eq. 10.6.1.3-1Bearing pressure $q_{bearing\_LC1} := \frac{F_{VFtLC1StrI}}{B_{eff}} = 4.08 \cdot \text{ksf}$ 

 $F_{VFtLC1StrI} = 56.79 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

 $M_{uFtLC1StrI} = 87.92 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$ 

 $e_{\rm B} := \frac{M_{\rm u} FtLC1StrI}{F_{\rm V} FtLC1StrI} = 1.55 \, {\rm ft}$ 

#### LRFD 10.6.1.3

LRFD 10.6.1.3

#### MDOT Method

Average bearing pressure

Bearing pressure at the toe

Bearing pressure at the heel

#### Load Case III, Strength I

Factored vertical force

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

#### LRFD Method

Effective footing width

Bearing pressure

#### MDOT Method

Average bearing pressure

Bearing pressure at the toe

Bearing pressure at the heel

#### Load Case IV, Strength I

Factored vertical force

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

$$q_{avgLC1} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC1StrI}}{B_{footing}} = 3.34 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{toeLC1} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC1StrI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 5.17 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{heelLC1} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC1StrI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 1.52 \cdot ksf$$

$$F_{VFtLC3StrI} = 74.75 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$M_{uFtLC3StrI} = 143.27 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

$$e_{B} := \frac{M_{uFtLC3StrI}}{F_{VFtLC3StrI}} = 1.92 \text{ ft}$$

 $B_{eff} := B_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_{B} = 13.17 \text{ ft} \qquad LRFD Eq. 10.6.1.3-1$   $q_{bearing\_LC3} := \frac{F_{VFtLC3StrI}}{B_{eff}} = 5.68 \cdot \text{ksf}$ 

$$q_{avgLC3} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC3StrI}}{B_{footing}} = 4.4 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{toeLC3} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC3StrI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 7.37 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{heelLC3} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC3StrI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 1.42 \cdot ksf$$

$$F_{VFtLC4StrI} = 69.08 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$M_{uFtLC4StrI} = 140.34 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

$$e_{\rm B} := \frac{M_{\rm uFtLC4StrI}}{F_{\rm VFtLC4StrI}} = 2.03 \, {\rm ft}$$

#### LRFD Method

Effective footing width

#### Bearing pressure

#### MDOT Method

Average bearing pressure

Bearing pressure at the toe

Bearing pressure at the heel

#### Load Case I, Service I

Factored vertical force

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

#### LRFD Method

Effective footing width

Bearing pressure

#### MDOT Method

Average bearing pressure

Bearing pressure at the toe

Bearing pressure at the heel

#### Load Case III, Service I

Factored vertical force

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

 $B_{eff} := B_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_{B} = 12.94 \text{ ft} \qquad LRFD Eq. 10.6.1.3-1$  $q_{bearing\_LC4} := \frac{F_{VFtLC4StrI}}{B_{eff}} = 5.34 \cdot \text{ksf}$ 

$$q_{avgLC4} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC4StrI}}{B_{footing}} = 4.06 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{toeLC4} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC4StrI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 6.98 \cdot ksf$$

$$F_{VFtLC4StrI} \left(6 \cdot e_B\right)$$

$$q_{\text{heelLC4}} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFtLC4StrI}}}{B_{\text{footing}}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_{\text{B}}}{B_{\text{footing}}}\right) = 1.15 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

$$F_{VFtLC1SerI} = 43.32 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$M_{uFtLC1SerI} = 32.22 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

$$e_{B} := \frac{M_{uFtLC1SerI}}{F_{VFtLC1SerI}} = 0.74 \text{ ft}$$

 $B_{eff} := B_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_{B} = 15.51 \text{ ft} \qquad LRFD \text{ Eq. 10.6.1.3-1}$   $q_{bearing\_LC1SerI} := \frac{F_{VFtLC1SerI}}{B_{eff}} = 2.79 \cdot \text{ksf}$ 

$$q_{avgLC1SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC1SerI}}{B_{footing}} = 2.55 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{toeLC1SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC1SerI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 3.22 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{heelLC1SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC1SerI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 1.88 \cdot ksf$$

$$F_{VFtLC3SerI} = 55.33 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$M_{uFtLC3SerI} = 69.22 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

#### LRFD Method

Effective footing width

Bearing pressure

#### MDOT Method

Average bearing pressure

Bearing pressure at the toe

Bearing pressure at the heel

#### Load Case IV, Service I

Factored vertical force

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

#### LRFD Method

Effective footing width

Bearing pressure

#### MDOT Method

Average bearing pressure

Bearing pressure at the toe

Bearing pressure at the heel

$$e_{\rm B} := \frac{M_{\rm u} FtLC3SerI}{F_{\rm V} FtLC3SerI} = 1.25 \, {\rm ft}$$

 $B_{eff} := B_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_{B} = 14.5 \text{ ft} \qquad LRFD \text{ Eq. 10.6.1.3-1}$  $q_{bearing\_LC3SerI} := \frac{F_{VFtLC3SerI}}{B_{eff}} = 3.82 \cdot \text{ksf}$ 

$$q_{avgLC3SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC3SerI}}{B_{footing}} = 3.25 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{toeLC3SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC3SerI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 4.69 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{heelLC3SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC3SerI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 1.82 \cdot ksf$$

$$F_{VFtLC4SerI} = 52.09 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$M_{uFtLC4SerI} = 71.62 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$
$$e_{B} := \frac{M_{uFtLC4SerI}}{F_{VFtLC4SerI}} = 1.37 \text{ ft}$$

 $B_{eff} := B_{footing} - 2 \cdot e_{B} = 14.25 \text{ ft} \qquad LRFD \text{ Eq. 10.6.1.3-1}$  $q_{bearing\_LC4SerI} := \frac{F_{VFtLC4SerI}}{B_{eff}} = 3.66 \cdot \text{ksf}$ 

$$q_{avgLC4SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC4SerI}}{B_{footing}} = 3.06 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{toeLC4SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC4SerI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 4.55 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{heelLC4SerI} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC4SerI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 1.58 \cdot ksf$$

#### Summary

#### LRFD Method

The controlling bearing pressure under strength limit states

 $q_b := max(q_{bearing\_LC1}, q_{bearing\_LC3}, q_{bearing\_LC4}) = 5.68 \cdot ksf$ 

The controlling bearing pressure needs to be checked with the factored bearing resistance of the soil provided by the Geotechnical Service Section.

#### MDOT Method

A summary of bearing pressure values (in psf) are shown in the following table:

	Toe (Service I)	Avg (Serivce I)	Heel (Service I)	Toe (Strength I)	Avg (Strength I)	Heel (Strength I)
LC I	3217	2549	1880	5166	3341	1516
LC III	4692	3254	1817	7371	4397	1422
LC IV	4551	3064	1577	6977	4064	1150

This table is provided to the Geotechnical Service Section for the verification of bearing resistance and settlement limits. If the bearing pressure exceeds the bearing strength of the soil, the size of the footing needs to be increased. See BDM 7.03.02.G for more information.

#### **Settlement Check**

The Geotechnical Service Section uses the controlling bearing pressure from the service limit state to check if the foundation total settlement is less than 1.5 in., the allowable limit.

#### BDM 7.03.02G 2b

LRFD 10.6.3.4

For LRFD method, the controlling bearing pressure for settlement analysis is

 $q_b$  settlement := max( $q_{bearing LC1SerI}, q_{bearing LC3SerI}, q_{bearing LC4SerI}$ ) = 3.82·ksf

The Geotechnical Service Section uses this controlling bearing pressure to calculate the foundation total settlement.

For MDOT method, the bearing pressures under service limit state are provided to the Geotechnical Service Section to calculate the settlement.

Note: Besides the total settlement, considerations should be given to prevent the differential settlement between the abutments and pier from exceeding the tolerable differential settlement limit. Differential settlement limits are given in the *Steel Plate Girder Design Example*.

#### **Sliding Resistance Check**

Spread footings must be designed to resist lateral loads without sliding. The sliding resistance of a footing on cohesionless soil is a function of the normal force and the interface friction between the foundation and the soil.

The Geotechnical Service Section should provide a coefficient of sliding resistance ( $\mu$ ) for a design. MDOT typically uses a sliding resistance coefficient of 0.5 for cast-in-place concrete footings. Consult the Geotechnical Service Section to identify the most suitable coefficient for a specific design.

Coefficient of sliding resistance

#### $\mu \coloneqq 0.5$

The strength limit states are used for this check. Since the resistance is proportional to the vertical loads, the following conditions are used:

- Minimum load factors are used for all vertical loads.
- Maximum load factors are used for the loads that contribute to horizontal sliding forces.
- Since DW is the future wearing surface load, it is excluded from all load combinations.

#### Load Case I

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

 $V_{uFtLC1StrI} = 16.59 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

 $V_{sliding} := V_{uFtLC1StrI} = 16.59 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

 $\phi_{\tau} := 0.8$  BDM 7.03.02.F, LRFD Table 10.5.5.5.2-1

 $V_{\text{resistance}} := \phi_{\tau} \cdot \mu \cdot F_{\text{VFtLC1StrIMin}} = 16.65 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$ 

Check := if  $(V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$ 

Minimum vertical load

$$F_{VFtLC1StrIMin} := 0.9 \cdot \left( DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing} \right) + 1.0 \cdot \left( EV_{earthBk} + EV_{earthFt} \right) = 41.63 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

 $V_{uFtLC3StrI} = 16.59 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

 $V_{sliding} := V_{uFtLC3StrI} = 16.59 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

Resistance factor for sliding

Sliding resistance

Check if V<sub>resistance</sub> > V<sub>sliding</sub>

#### Load Case III

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

Factored sliding force

When calculating the minimum vertical force for sliding and eccentric load limitation check, the live load on the superstructure is excluded to develop a conservative design.

Minimum vertical load without  
the live load
$$F_{VFtLC3StrIMin_noLL} := 0.9 \cdot (DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing}) \dots$$
  
 $+ 1.0 \cdot (EV_{earthBk} + EV_{earthFt})$  $F_{VFtLC3StrIMin_noLL} := 46.72 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ Resistance factor for sliding $\phi_{\tau} := 0.8$ BDM 7.03.02.F, LRFD Table 10.5.5.5.2-1Sliding resistance $V_{resistance} := \phi_{\tau} \cdot \mu \cdot F_{VFtLC3StrIMin_noLL} = 18.69 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ Check if  $V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}$ Check := if  $(V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$ 

#### Load Case IV

There are two cases that need to be considered: without and with the live load surcharge.

Without the live load surcharge:

Factored shear force parallel to the
transverse axis of the footing

$$V_{uFtLC4StrI} = 19.85 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Factored sliding force without the live load surcharge

Minimum vertical load without the live load surcharge

$$V_{sliding} \coloneqq V_{uFtLC4StrI} - 1.75P_{LSFooting} = 16.73 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$FtLC4StrIMin_noLS \coloneqq 0.9 \cdot (DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing}) \dots + 1.0 \cdot (EV_{earthBk} + EV_{earthFt})$$

$$F_{VFtLC4StrIMin_noLS} = 46.72 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$V_{resistance} \coloneqq \phi_{\tau} \cdot \mu \cdot F_{VFtLC4StrIMin_noLS} = 18.69 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$Check \coloneqq if (V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$$

 $F_{VFtLC4StrIMin} \coloneqq 0.9 \cdot \left( DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} + DC_{footing} \right) \dots \\ + 1.0 \cdot \left( EV_{earthBk} + EV_{earthFt} \right) + 1.75 V_{LSFooting}$ 

 $V_{\text{resistance}} := \phi_{\tau} \cdot \mu \cdot F_{\text{VFtLC4StrIMin}} = 20.24 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$ 

Check := if (V<sub>resistance</sub> > V<sub>sliding</sub>, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

With the live load surcharge:

Check if V<sub>resistance</sub> > V<sub>sliding</sub>

Sliding resistance

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

Factored sliding force

Minimum vertical load with the live load surcharge

Sliding resistance

Check if  $V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}$ 

# Eccentric Load Limitation (Overturning) Check

 $F_{V}$ 

The eccentricity of loading at the strength limit state, evaluated based on factored loads, shall **LR** not exceed one-third of the corresponding dimension of footing on soils for stability.

The eccentricity in the abutment length direction is not a concern. The following calculations presents the evaluation of the eccentricity in the abutment width direction for Strength I limit state:

#### Load Case I

Minimum vertical force

Moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction measured from the centerline

1/6 of footing width

Check if the eccentric load limitation is satisfied

 $V_{uFtLC4StrI} = 19.85 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

 $F_{VFtLC4StrIMin} = 50.61 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

 $V_{sliding} := V_{uFtLC4StrI} = 19.85 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

$$F_{VFtLC1StrIMin} = 41.63 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$M_{uFtLC1StrI} = 87.92 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

$$e_{B} := \frac{M_{uFtLC1StrI}}{F_{VFtLC1StrIMin}} = 2.11 \text{ ft}$$

 $\frac{B_{\text{footing}}}{6} = 2.83 \cdot \text{ft}$ 

Check := if  $\left(e_{\mathbf{B}} < \frac{B_{\text{footing}}}{6}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$ 

#### Load Case III

There are two cases that need to be considered: without and with the live load Without the live load:

Minimum vertical force without the live load

 $F_{VFtLC3StrIMin_noLL} = 46.72 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

Moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing (with the live load)

$$M_{uFtLC3StrI} = 143.27 \cdot \frac{\kappa p \cdot \pi}{ft}$$

Moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing (without the live load)

$$M_{uFtLC3StrI\_noLL} := M_{uFtLC3StrI} - \left(1.75 \cdot R_{LLFootingMax}\right) \cdot \left(l_{heel} + l_{brtowall} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2}\right) = 113.82 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

e<sub>B</sub> :=

(

Check := if

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

Check if the eccentric load limitation is satisfied

With the live load:

Minimum vertical force with the live load

Moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing (with the live load)

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

Check if the eccentric load limitation is satisfied

#### Load Case IV

There are two cases that need to be considered: without and with the live load surcharge.

Without the live load surcharge:

Minimum vertical force

Moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing (with the live load surcharge)

$$F_{VFtLC4StrIMin_noLS} = 46.72 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$
$$M_{uFtLC4StrI} = 140.34 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

FVFtLC3StrIMin := FVFtLC3StrIMin noLL + 1.75RLLFootingMax

 $e_{B} < \frac{B_{footing}}{6}, "OK", "Not OK"$ 

= "OK"

 $\frac{M_{uFtLC3StrI_noLL}}{F_{VFtLC3StrIMin_noLL}} = 2.44 \text{ ft}$ 

$$F_{VFtLC3StrIMin} = 56.27 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$M_{uFtLC3StrI} = 143.27 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

$$e_{B} \coloneqq \frac{M_{uFtLC3StrI}}{F_{VFtLC3StrIMin}} = 2.55 \text{ ft}$$

Check := if 
$$\left( e_{B} < \frac{B_{footing}}{6}, "OK", "Not OK" \right) = "OK"$$

Moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing (without the live load surcharge)

$$\begin{split} M_{u}FtLC4StrI\_noLS &\coloneqq M_{u}FtLC4StrI = 1.75V_{LSFooting} \cdot \left(\frac{heel}{2} - \frac{B_{footing}}{2}\right) \cdots \\ &+ -1.75 \cdot P_{LSFooting} \cdot \frac{(h_{backwall} + h_{wall} + t_{footing})}{2} \\ M_{u}FtLC4StrI\_noLS = 116.67 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \\ Eccentricity in the footing width direction \\ e_{B} &\coloneqq \frac{M_{u}FtLC4StrI\_noLS}{F_{V}FtLC4StrI_{min\_noLS}} = 2.5 \text{ ft} \\ Check if the eccentric load limitation is satisfied \\ Check := if \left(e_{B} < \frac{B_{footing}}{6}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK" \\ With the live load surcharge: \\ Minimum vertical force \\ M_{u}FtLC4StrI_{min\_} = 50.61 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft} \\ M_{u}FtLC4StrI_{min\_} = 140.34 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \\ Eccentricity in the footing width direction \\ e_{B} &\coloneqq \frac{M_{u}FtLC4StrI_{min\_} = 2.77 \text{ ft} \\ Check if the eccentric load limitation is satisfied \\ Check := if \left(e_{B} < \frac{B_{footing\_}}{6}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK" \\ \end{split}$$

Eccentricity in the footing width d

With the live load surcharge:

Minimum vertical force

of the footing

March 06, 2023

# Step 2.7 Backwall Design

# Description

This step presents the design of the backwall.

# Page Contents

- 47 Forces and Moments at the Base of the Backwall
- 47 Design for Flexure
- 50 Design for Shear
- 52 Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Design

# Forces and Moments at the Base of the Backwall

Step 2.5 presents the load effects at the base of the backwall under different load cases and limit states. A summary is presented in the following tables:

Factored vertical force, F<sub>VBw</sub> (kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	1.20	-
LC III	1.20	-
LC IV	1.20	0.96

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the backwall,  $V_{uBw}$  (kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	0.49	-
LC III	0.49	-
LC IV	1.02	0.63

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the backwall, M<sub>uBw</sub> (kip ft/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	0.69	-
LC III	0.69	-
LC IV	1.83	1.11

#### **Design for Flexure**

According to the loads in the summary tables, Load Case IV under Strength I limit state is the governing load case for the flexural design.

N

Moment demand at the base of the backwall

$$M_{\text{DemandBackwall}} := M_{\text{uBwLC4StrI}} = 1.83 \cdot \frac{\text{Kip}}{4}$$

ft

LRFD 5.6.3.2

#### **Flexural Resistance**

The design procedure consists of calculating the reinforcing steel area required to satisfy the moment demand and checking the selected steel area against the requirements and limitations for developing an adequate moment capacity, controlling crack width, and managing shrinkage and temperature stresses.

As a trial, select No. 6 bars.	bar := 6
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar	$d_{\text{bar}} := \text{Dia}(\text{bar}) = 0.75 \cdot \text{in}$
Cross-section area of the bar	$A_{bar} := Area(bar) = 0.44 \cdot in^2$
The spacing shall not exceed 3 times the component this	ckness for members at most 18 in. thick. LRFD 5.10.6

Backwall thickness

Selected bar spacing

 $t_{backwall} = 18 \cdot in$  $s_{bar} := 18 \cdot in$ 

 $d_e := t_{backwall} - Cover_{bw} = 15 \cdot in$ Effective depth Resistance factor for flexure  $\phi_{f} := 0.9$ LRFD 5.5.4.2 A 1-ft wide strip is selected for the design. LRFD 5.6.2.2 Width of the compression face of the section b := 12in  $\beta_1 := \min \left[ \max \left[ 0.85 - 0.05 \cdot \left( \frac{f_c - 4ksi}{ksi} \right), 0.65 \right], 0.85 \right] = 0.85$ Stress block factor Solve the following equation of As to calculate the required area of steel to satisfy the moment demand. Use an assumed initial A<sub>s</sub> value to solve the equation.  $A_{s} := 0.3 in^{2}$ Initial assumption Given M<sub>DemandBackwall</sub> ft =  $\phi_f \cdot A_s \cdot f_y \cdot \left| d_e - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{A_s \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_s \cdot b} \right) \right|$  $A_{s.req} := Find(A_s) = 0.03 \cdot in^2$ Required area of steel Check :=  $if(A_{sProvided} > A_{s.req}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$ Check if A<sub>sProvided</sub> > A<sub>sRequired</sub>  $\left[ d_{e} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{A_{sProvided} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot b} \right) \right]$ Moment capacity of the section  $M_{CapacityBackwall} := \phi_f \cdot A_{sProvided} \cdot f_y$ with the provided steel  $M_{CapacityBackwall} = 19.42 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\text{ft}}$  $c := \frac{A_{sProvided} f_y}{0.85 f_s G_1 b} = 0.68 \cdot in$ Distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis Check\_ $f_s := if\left(\frac{c}{d_e} < 0.6, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$ Check the validity of assumption,  $f_s = f_v$ 

 $A_{sProvided} := \frac{A_{bar} \cdot 12in}{s_{bar}} = 0.29 \cdot in^2$ 

#### Limits for Reinforcement

#### LRFD 5.6.3.3

The tensile reinforcement provided must be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the lesser of the cracking moment or 1.33 times the factored moment from the applicable strength limit state load combinations.

Flexural cracking variability factor

Area of reinforcing steel provided in

a 1-ft wide section

Ratio of specified minimum yield strength to ultimate tensile strength of the nonprestressed reinforcement  $\gamma_1 := 1.6$  For concrete structures that are not precast segmental  $\gamma_3 := 0.67$  For ASTM615 grade 60 reinforcement Section modulus

Cracking moment

1.33 times the factored moment demand

Factored moment to satisfy the minimum reinforcement requirement

Check the adequacy of the section capacity

$$S_c := \frac{1}{6} \cdot b \cdot t_{backwall}^2 = 648 \cdot in^3$$

$$M_{cr} := \frac{\gamma_3 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot f_r \cdot S_c}{ft} = 24.06 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

$$1.33 \cdot M_{\text{DemandBackwall}} = 2.43 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{2}$$

DemandBackwal ft

# $M_{req} := min(1.33M_{DemandBackwall}, M_{cr}) = 2.43 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$ Check := if (M<sub>CapacityBackwall</sub> > M<sub>req</sub>, "OK", "Not OK")

#### **Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement**

Limiting the width of expected cracks under service conditions extends the service life. The width of potential cracks can be minimized through proper placement of the reinforcement. The check for crack control requires that the actual stress in the reinforcement should not exceed the service limit state stress.

 $700 \cdot \gamma_e$ 

The spacing requirement for the mild steel reinforcement in the layer closest to the tension face

Exposure factor for the Class 1 exposure condition

Distance from extreme tension fiber to the center of the closest flexural reinforcement

Ratio of flexural strain at the extreme tension face to the strain at the centroid of the reinforcement layer closest to the tension face

The position of the cross-section's neutral axis is determined through an iterative process to calculate the actual stress in the reinforcement. This process starts with an assumed position of the neutral axis as shown below.

Assumed distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Given

Position of the neutral axis

Tensile force in the reinforcing steel due to service limit state moment

Stress in the reinforcing steel due to service limit state moment

 $f_{ss}$  (not to exceed 0.6  $f_{v}$ )

$$s \leq \frac{c}{\beta_{s} \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_{c}$$

$$\gamma_{e} := 1.00$$

$$d_{c} := Cover_{bw} = 3 \cdot in$$

$$\beta_{s} := 1 + \frac{d_{c}}{0.7(t_{backwall} - d_{c})} = 1.29$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot b \cdot x^{2} = \frac{E_{s}}{E_{c}} \cdot A_{sProvided} \cdot (d_{e} - x)$$

$$x_{na} \coloneqq Find(x) = 2.234 \cdot in$$

$$T_{s} \coloneqq \frac{M_{uBackwallSerI}}{d_{e} - \frac{x_{na}}{3}} \cdot ft = 0.9 \cdot kip$$

$$f_{ss1} \coloneqq \frac{T_{s}}{A_{sProvided}} = 3.19 \cdot ksi$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{ss} := \min(\mathbf{f}_{ss1}, 0.6\mathbf{f}_y) = 3.19 \cdot \mathbf{ksi}$$

#### **LRFD 5.6.7**

Required reinforcement spacing
$$s_{barRequired} := \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e \cdot \frac{kip}{in}}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c = 164.79 \cdot in$$
Check if the spacing provided < the  
required spacingCheck := if  $(s_{bar} < s_{barRequired}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$ Shrinkage and Temperature ReinforcementShrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement

The following calculations check the adequacy of the flexural reinforcing steel to control shrinkage and temperature stresses in the backwall:

For bars, the area of reinforcing steel per foot, on  
each face and in each direction, shall satisfy  
and  
$$A_{S} \ge \frac{1.3bh}{2(b+h)f_{y}} \qquad \text{LRFD 5.10.6}$$
  
and  
$$0.11 \text{ in}^{2} \le A_{S} \le 0.6 \text{ in}^{2}$$
$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \left( 0.60 \frac{\text{in}^{2}}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left( 0.11 \frac{\text{in}^{2}}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left( 0.11 \frac{\text{in}^{2}}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left( 1.3 \cdot \text{hbackwall} \cdot \text{tbackwall} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \right) \\ \left[ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{hbackwall} \cdot \text{tbackwall} \cdot \text{tbackwall} \cdot \text{fy}}{2(\text{hbackwall} + \text{tbackwall}) \cdot \text{fy}} \right] \right] \right]$$
  
Check if the provided area of steel >  
the required area of shrinkage and  
temperature steel

# **Design for Shear**

According to the loads in the summary tables, Load Case IV under Strength I limit state is the governing load case for the shear design.

The maximum factored shear force  
at the base of the backwall
$$V_{uBwLC4StrI} = 1.02 \cdot \frac{k_{IP}}{ft}$$
Effective width of the section $b_V := b = 12 \cdot in$ Depth of the equivalent rectangular  
stress block $a := \frac{A_{sProvided} \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b} = 0.58 \cdot in$ Effective shear depth $d_V := max \left( d_e - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_e, 0.72 \cdot t_{backwall} \right) = 14.71 \cdot in$ Nate: Since there is no transverse minformement in the healy used and the everall denth of the healy used is greater than

. .

Note: Since there is no transverse reinforcement in the backwall and the overall depth of the backwall is greater than 16 in., the simplified procedure in LRFD 5.7.3.4.1 cannot be used. The general procedure outlined in LRFD 5.7.3.4.2 is used for the design of backwall shear capacity.

The factored  $N_u$ ,  $V_u$ , and  $M_u$  are calculated at the critical section for shear, which is located at a distance  $d_v$  from the base of the backwall.

Factored axial force at the critical section (use negative if compression)

Lateral earth load at the critical section

Load at the critical section due to live load surcharge

Factored shear force (demand) at the critical section

Factored moment at the critical section

Check M<sub>u</sub> since it cannot be taken less than V<sub>u</sub>d<sub>v</sub>

Net longitudinal tensile strain in the section at the centroid of the tension reinforcement



Maximum aggregate size (in.)

Crack spacing parameter as influenced by the maximum aggregate size

 $N_{uBackwallShear} = -0.85 \cdot \frac{kip}{r}$  $P_{\text{EHBackwallShear}} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \cdot k_a \cdot \gamma_s \cdot \left(h_{\text{backwall}} - d_v\right) \cdot \left(h_{\text{backwall}} - d_v\right)$  $P_{\text{EHBackwallShear}} = 0.16 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\alpha}$  $P_{LSBackwallShear} := k_a \cdot \gamma_s \cdot h_{eq} \cdot \left(h_{backwall} - d_v\right) = 0.22 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$  $V_{uBackwallShear} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHBackwallShear} + 1.75 \cdot P_{LSBackwallShear}$  $V_{uBackwallShear} = 0.63 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 
$$\begin{split} M_{uBackwallShear} &\coloneqq 1.5 \cdot P_{EHBackwallShear} \cdot \frac{\left(h_{backwall} - d_{v}\right)}{3} \dots \\ &+ 1.75 \cdot P_{LSBackwallShear} \cdot \frac{\left(h_{backwall} - d_{v}\right)}{2} \end{split}$$
 $M_{uBackwallShear} = 0.82 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$  $M_{uWallShear} := max(M_{uBackwallShear}, V_{uBackwallShear} \cdot d_v)$  $M_{uWallShear} = 0.82 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$  $\frac{M_{uBackwallShear}}{d_{v}} + 0.5 \cdot N_{uBackwallShear} + V_{uBackwallShear}$  $\varepsilon_{s} :=$  $E_{s} \cdot \frac{A_{sProvided}}{2}$  $\varepsilon_{\rm s} = 1.03 \times 10^{-4}$ LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.4.2-4  $s_x := d_v = 1.23 \text{ ft}$ **MDOT Standard Specifications** a<sub>g</sub> := 1.5 for Construction Table 902-1  $s_{xe} := \min \left[ \begin{array}{c} (80in) \\ (12in) \\ s_{x} \cdot \frac{1.38}{2} \\ 5.7.3.4.2-7 \end{array} \right] = 12 \cdot in \quad LRFD Eq. \\ 5.7.3.4.2-7 \end{array}$ 

 $N_{uBackwallShear} := -[1.25 \cdot (DC_{backwall} - d_v \cdot t_{backwall} \cdot W_c)]$ 

Factor indicating the ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear	$\beta := \frac{4.8}{\left(1 + 750 \cdot \varepsilon_{\rm s}\right)} \cdot \frac{51}{\left(39 + \frac{s_{\rm xe}}{\rm in}\right)} = 4.46$	LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.4.2-2
Nominal shear resistance of concrete, V <sub>n</sub> , is calcul	lated as follows:	
	$V_{c1} := 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b \cdot d_e = 43.9 \cdot kip$	LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-3
	$V_{c2} := 0.25 f_c \cdot b \cdot d_e = 135 \cdot kip$	LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-2
	$V_n := \min(V_{c1}, V_{c2}) = 43.9 \cdot \text{kip}$	
Resistance factor for shear	$\phi_{\rm V} \coloneqq 0.9$	LRFD 5.5.4.2
Factored shear resistance (capacity)	$V_r := \phi_V \cdot V_n = 39.51 \cdot kip$	
Check if the shear capacity is greater than the demand	Check := if $\left(\frac{V_r}{ft} > V_{uBackwallShear}, "OK \right)$	", "Not OK" $=$ "OK"
Shrinkage and Temperature Rein The following calculations check the required am	nforcement Design ount of reinforcing steel in the secondary direction	
to control shrinkage and temperature stresses in th	e backwall.	
The reinforcement at the front face of the backwal should satisfy the shrinkage and temperature reinf	ll and the horizontal reinforcement at the interior orcement requirements.	LRFD 5.10.6
The spacing of reinforcement shall not exceed 3 t most 18 in. thick.	imes the component thickness for members at	LRFD 5.10.6
Note: MDOT practice is to use No. 6 @ 18 in. ma	aximum spacing.	BDG 6.20.03A
Select No. 6 bars.	bar := 6	
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar	$d_{bST} := Dia(bar) = 0.75 \cdot in$	
Cross-section area of the bar	$A_{barST} := Area(bar) = 0.44 \cdot in^2$	
Spacing of bars	$s_{barST} := 18 \cdot in$	
	10:	
Horizontal reinforcing steel area provided in the section	$A_{sProvidedST} := \frac{A_{barST} \cdot 121n}{s_{barST}} = 0.29 \cdot in^2$	
Horizontal reinforcing steel area provided in the section The required minimum shrinkage and temperature during the design of flexural reinforcement.	$A_{sProvidedST} := \frac{A_{barST} \cdot 12in}{s_{barST}} = 0.29 \cdot in^{2}$ e reinforcement area at the backwall was previously of	calculated
Horizontal reinforcing steel area provided in the section The required minimum shrinkage and temperature during the design of flexural reinforcement. Required shrinkage and temperature steel area	$A_{sProvidedST} := \frac{A_{barST} \cdot 121n}{s_{barST}} = 0.29 \cdot in^{2}$ e reinforcement area at the backwall was previously c $A_{shrink.temp} = 0.14 \cdot in^{2}$	calculated
Horizontal reinforcing steel area provided in the section The required minimum shrinkage and temperature during the design of flexural reinforcement. Required shrinkage and temperature steel area Check if the provided steel area > the required area of shrinkage and temperature steel	$A_{sProvidedST} := \frac{A_{barST} \cdot 12in}{s_{barST}} = 0.29 \cdot in^{2}$ e reinforcement area at the backwall was previously of $A_{shrink.temp} = 0.14 \cdot in^{2}$ Check := if (A_{sProvidedST} > A_{shrink.temp}, "C	calculated DK", "Not OK") = "OK"

The backwall design presented in this step provides the following details:

- No. 6 bars at 18.0 in. spacing  $(A_s = 0.29 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft})$  as the back face flexural reinforcement.
- No. 6 bars at 18.0 in. spacing (A<sub>s</sub>=0.29 in.<sup>2</sup>/ft) as the front face vertical shrinkage and temperature reinforcement.
- No. 6 bars at 18.0 in. spacing (A<sub>s</sub> = 0.29 in.<sup>2</sup>/ft) as the front and back face horizontal shrinkage and temperature reinforcement.

# Step 2.8 Abutment Wall Design

# Description

This step presents the design of the abutment wall.

# Page Contents

- 55 Forces and Moments at the Base of the Abutment Wall
- 56 Design for Flexure
- 59 Design for Shear
- 60 Development Length of Reinforcement
- 61 Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Design

# Forces and Moments at the Base of the Abutment Wall

Step 2.5 presents the load effects at the base of the abutment wall under different load cases and limit states. A summary is presented in the following tables:

Factored vertical force, F<sub>VWall</sub>(kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	11.61	9.29
LC III	29.86	21.46
LC IV	20.01	15.83

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the abutment wall,  $V_{uWall}(\mbox{kip/ft})$ 

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	12.82	8.55
LC III	12.82	8.55
LC IV	15.70	10.39

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the abutment wall, MuWall (kip ft/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	92.40	61.28
LC III	106.09	70.41
LC IV	131.04	88.15

#### **Design for Flexure**

According to the loads in the summary tables, Load Case IV under Strength I limit state is the governing load case for the flexural design.

Moment demand at the base of the wall

 $M_{\text{DemandWall}} := M_{uWallLC4StrI} = 131.04 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\text{ft}}$ 

LRFD 5.6.3.2

**LRFD 5.10.6** 

#### **Flexural Resistance**

The design procedure consists of calculating the reinforcing steel area required to satisfy the moment demand and checking the selected steel area against the requirements and limitations for developing an adequate moment capacity, controlling crack width, and managing shrinkage and temperature stresses.

bar := 9

 $t_{wall} = 38 \cdot in$ 

 $s_{bar} := 12 \cdot in$ 

#### As a trial, select No. 9 bars.

Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar $d_{bar} := Dia(bar) = 1.13 \cdot in$ Cross-section area of the bar $A_{bar} := Area(bar) = 1 \cdot in^2$ 

The spacing of reinforcement shall not exceed 12 in. when the thickness of walls is greater than 18 in.

Wall thickness

Initial assumption for the spacing of bars

Area of reinforcing steel provided in a 1-ft wide section

Effective depth

Resistance factor for flexure

A 1-ft wide strip is selected for the design.

Width of the compression face of the section

$$d_e := t_{wall} - \text{Cover}_{wall} = 35 \cdot \text{in}$$

$$\Phi_f := 0.9$$
LRFD 5.5.4.2

 $A_{sProvided} \coloneqq \frac{A_{bar} \cdot 12in}{s_{bar}} = 1 \cdot in^2$ 

$$\lim_{1 \to \infty} \left[ \max \left[ 0.85 - 0.05 \cdot \left( \frac{f_{c} - 4ksi}{ksi} \right), 0.65 \right], 0.85 \right] = 0.85 \qquad \text{LRFD} \\ 5.6.2.2$$

Solve the following equation of  $A_s$  to calculate the required area of steel to satisfy the moment demand. Use an assumed initial  $A_s$  value to solve the equation.

β

Initial assumption

$$A_s := 1in^2$$

b := 12in

Given 
$$M_{\text{DemandWall}} \cdot \text{ft} = \phi_{f} \cdot A_{s} \cdot f_{y} \cdot \left[ d_{e} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{A_{s} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot b} \right) \right]$$
 LRFD  
5.6.3.2  
 $A_{sRequired} := \text{Find}(A_{s}) = 0.85 \cdot \text{in}^{2}$ 

Check := if  $(A_{sProvided} > A_{sRequired}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$ 

Required area of steel

Check if A<sub>sProvided</sub> > A<sub>sRequired</sub>

56

Moment capacity of the section with the provided steel

Distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Check the validity of assumption,  $f_s = f_v$ 

#### Limits for Reinforcement

The tensile reinforcement provided must be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the lesser of the cracking moment or 1.33 times the factored moment from the applicable strength limit state load combinations.

 $\gamma_1 := 1.6$ 

Flexural cracking variability factor Ratio of specified minimum yield strength to ultimate tensile strength of

the nonprestressed reinforcement

Section modulus

Cracking moment

1.33 times the factored moment demand

The factored moment to satisfy the minimum reinforcement requirement

Check the adequacy of the section capacity

#### Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement

Limiting the width of expected cracks under service conditions extends the service life. The width of potential cracks can be minimized through proper placement of the reinforcement. The check for crack control requires that the actual stress in the reinforcement should not exceed the service limit state stress.

 $s \le \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}}$ 

 $\gamma_e := 1.00$ 

Spacing requirement for the mild steel reinforcement in the layer closest to the tension face

Exposure factor for the Class 1 exposure condition

$$\gamma_{3} := 0.67 \quad \text{For ASTM615 grade 60 reinforcement}$$

$$S_{c} := \frac{1}{6} \cdot b \cdot t_{wall}^{2} = 2.89 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{in}^{3}$$

$$M_{cr} := \frac{\gamma_{3} \cdot \gamma_{1} \cdot f_{r} \cdot S_{c}}{ft} = 107.25 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{ft}$$

$$1.33 \cdot M_{DemandWall} = 174.29 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{ft}$$

$$M_{req} := \min(1.33M_{DemandWall}, M_{cr}) = 107.25 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{ft}$$

For concrete structures that are not precast segmental

# LRFD 5.6.7

# $M_{CapacityWall} \coloneqq \phi_{f} \cdot A_{sProvided} \cdot f_{y} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} d_{e} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{A_{sProvided} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot b}\right) \\ ft \end{bmatrix}}_{ft}$ $M_{CapacityWall} = 153.09 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$ $c \coloneqq \frac{A_{sProvided} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot \beta_{1} \cdot b} = 2.31 \cdot in$ $Check \coloneqq if\left(\frac{c}{d_{e}} < 0.6, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$

# LRFD 5.6.3.3

Check :=  $if(M_{CapacityWall} > M_{req}, "OK", "Not OK") =$ 

= "OK"

Distance from extreme tension fiber to center of the closest flexural reinforcement

Ratio of flexural strain at the extreme tension face to the strain at the centroid of the reinforcement layer closest to the tension face

The position of the cross-section's neutral axis is determined through an iterative process to calculate the actual stress in the reinforcement. This process starts with an assumed position of the neutral axis as shown below.

 $x := 6 \cdot in$ 

Assumed distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Given

Position of the neutral axis

Tensile force in the reinforcing steel due to service limit state moment

Stress in the reinforcing steel due to service limit state moment

 $f_{ss}$  (not to exceed  $0.6f_{y}$ )

Required reinforcement spacing

Check if the spacing provided < the required spacing

# $\frac{1}{2} \cdot b \cdot x^{2} = \frac{E_{s}}{E_{c}} \cdot A_{sProvided} \cdot (d_{e} - x)$ $x_{na} := Find(x) = 6.197 \cdot in$ $T_{s} := \frac{M_{u}WallLC4SerI}{d_{e} - \frac{x_{na}}{3}} \cdot ft = 32.1 \cdot kip$

 $d_c := Cover_{wall} = 3 \cdot in$ 

 $\beta_{\rm s} := 1 + \frac{d_{\rm c}}{0.7(t_{\rm wall} - d_{\rm c})} = 1.12$ 

$$f_{ss1} := \frac{T_s}{A_{sProvided}} = 32.12 \cdot ksi$$

 $f_{ss} := \min(f_{ss1}, 0.6f_{v}) = 32.12 \cdot ksi$ 

$$s_{barRequred} := \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e \cdot \frac{kip}{in}}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c = 13.42 \cdot in$$
  
Check := if (s<sub>bar</sub> < s<sub>barRequred</sub>, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

#### Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Requirement

#### LRFD 5.10.6

The following calculations check the adequacy of the flexural reinforcing steel to control shrinkage and temperature stresses in the wall:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimum area of shrinkage and} \\ \text{temperature reinforcement} \end{array} \qquad A_{\text{shrink.temp}} \coloneqq \min \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left( 0.60 \frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left[ \left( 0.11 \frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left[ \left( 0.11 \frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left[ \frac{1.3 \cdot h_{\text{wall}} \cdot t_{\text{wall}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ 2(h_{\text{wall}} + t_{\text{wall}}) \cdot f_{\text{y}} \end{array} \right] \right] \right] \\ \text{Check if the provided area of steel > the required area of shrinkage and temperature steel} \\ \end{array} \qquad \qquad \text{Check := if} \left( A_{\text{sProvided}} > A_{\text{shrink.temp}}, \text{"OK"}, \text{"Not OK"} \right) = \text{"OK"} \\ \end{array}$$

### **Design for Shear**

According to the loads in the summary tables, Load Case IV under Strength I limit state is the governing load case for the shear design.

The maximum factored shear force  $V_{uWallLC4StrI} = 15.7 \cdot \frac{kip}{r}$ at the base of the abutment wall Effective width of the section  $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{v}} := \mathbf{b} = 12 \cdot \mathbf{i} \mathbf{n}$  $a := \frac{A_{sProvided} f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{s} \cdot b} = 1.96 \cdot in$ Depth of the equivalent rectangular stress block  $d_{v} := \max\left(d_{e} - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{e}, 0.72 \cdot t_{wall}\right) = 34.02 \cdot in$ Effective shear depth 5.7.2.8 Note: Since there is no transverse reinforcement in the wall and the overall depth of the wall is greater than 16 in., the simplified procedure in LRFD 5.7.3.4.1 cannot be used. The general procedure outlined in LRFD 5.7.3.4.2 is used for the calculation of abutment wall shear capacity. The factored N<sub>u</sub>, V<sub>u</sub>, and M<sub>u</sub> are calculated at the critical section for shear, which is located at a distance d<sub>v</sub> from the base of the abutment wall. Factored axial force at the critical section (use negative if compression)  $N_{uWallShear} := -\left[1.25 \cdot \left(DC_{Sup} + DC_{backwall} + DC_{wall} - d_{v} \cdot t_{wall} \cdot W_{c}\right) + 1.5DW_{Sup}\right] = -18.33 \cdot \frac{kip}{\alpha}$  $P_{\text{EHWallShear}} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[ k_a \cdot \gamma_s \cdot \left( h_{\text{backwall}} + h_{\text{wall}} - d_v \right) \right] \cdot \left( h_{\text{backwall}} + h_{\text{wall}} - d_v \right)$ Lateral earth load at the critical section  $P_{EHWallShear} = 6.47 \cdot \frac{kip}{r}$ Load at the critical section  $P_{LSWallShear} := k_a \cdot \gamma_s \cdot h_{eq} \cdot (h_{backwall} + h_{wall} - d_v) = 1.36 \cdot \frac{k_{1p}}{r_{t}}$ due to live load surcharge  $V_{uWallShear} := 1.5 \cdot P_{EHWallShear} + 1.75 \cdot P_{LSWallShear} + 0.5TU$ Factored shear force (demand) at the critical section  $V_{uWallShear} = 12.23 \cdot \frac{kip}{r}$ Factored moment at the critical section  $M_{uWallShear} := 0.9 \cdot DC_{backwall} \cdot \frac{\left(t_{backwall} - t_{wall}\right)}{2} + \left(1.25 \cdot DC_{Sup} + 1.5 \cdot DW_{Sup}\right) \cdot \left(l_{brtowall} - \frac{t_{wall}}{2}\right) \dots$ +  $1.5 \cdot P_{EHWallShear} \cdot \frac{\left(h_{backwall} + h_{wall} - d_{v}\right)}{2}$ + 1.75 · P<sub>LSWallShear</sub> ·  $\frac{(h_{backwall} + h_{wall} - d_v)}{2}$  + 0.5 · TU ·  $(h_{wall} - d_v)$  $M_{uWallShear} = 91.55 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\Omega}$ Check M<sub>n</sub> since it cannot be  $M_{uWallShear} := max(M_{uWallShear}, V_{uWallShear}, d_v) = 91.55 \cdot \frac{k_{1}p \cdot ft}{r}$ taken less than V<sub>u</sub>d<sub>v</sub>

Net longitudinal tensile strain in the section at the centroid of the tension reinforcement

Crack spacing parameter

Maximum aggregate size (in.)

Crack spacing parameter as influenced by the maximum aggregate size

Factor indicating the ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear

 $\frac{\left(\frac{M_{u}WallShear}{d_{v}} + 0.5 \cdot N_{u}WallShear + V_{u}WallShear}{E_{s} \cdot \frac{A_{s}Provided}{ft}}\right) = 1.22 \times 10^{-3}$  $s_x := d_v = 2.83 \text{ ft}$ **MDOT Standard Specifications** a<sub>g</sub> := 1.5 for Construction Table 902-1  $s_{xe} := \min \left[ \begin{array}{c} (12in) \\ max \left[ \begin{array}{c} (12in) \\ s_{x} \cdot \frac{1.38}{a_{\alpha} + 0.63} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right] = 22.04 \cdot in \quad LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.4.2-7$  $\beta := \frac{4.8}{\left(1 + 750 \cdot \varepsilon_{s}\right)} \cdot \frac{51}{\left(39 + \frac{s_{xe}}{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} = 2.09$ LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.4.2-2

Nominal shear resistance of concrete, V<sub>n</sub>, is calculated as follows:

 $V_{c1} := 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b \cdot d_e = 48.2 \cdot kip$  LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-3  $V_{c2} := 0.25 f_c \cdot b \cdot d_e = 315 \cdot kip$  LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-2  $V_n := \min(V_{c1}, V_{c2}) = 48.16 \cdot \text{kip}$  $\phi_{\rm V} \coloneqq 0.9$ LRFD 5.5.4.2  $V_r := \phi_V \cdot V_n = 43.34 \cdot kip$ Check := if  $\left(\frac{V_r}{ft} > V_{uWallShear}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$ 

#### Factored shear resistance (capacity)

Resistance factor for shear

Check if the shear demand is greater than the demand

#### **Development Length of Reinforcement**

The flexural reinforcing steel must be developed on each side of the critical section for its full development length.

#### LRFD 5.10.8.1.2, 5.10.8.2.1

LRFD Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-2

 $l_{db} := 2.4 \cdot d_{bar} \cdot \frac{t_y}{\sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi}} = 7.82 \text{ ft}$  $\lambda_{rl} := 1$ No more than 12 in. concrete below

 $c_h := Cover_{wall} = 3 \cdot in$ 

f := 1.5 Epoxy coated bars with less than  $3d_b$  cover

Distance from center of the bar to the nearest concrete surface

Reinforcement location factor

Basic development length

Coating factor

Reinforcement confinement factor	$\lambda_{\rm rc} := \frac{d_{\rm bar}}{c_{\rm b}} = 0.38$		
Excess reinforcement factor	$\lambda_{\text{er}} \coloneqq \frac{A_{\text{sRequired}}}{A_{\text{sProvided}}} = 0.85$		
Factor for normal weight concrete	$\lambda := 1$		
Required development length	$l_{d} := l_{db} \cdot \frac{\left(\lambda_{rl} \cdot \lambda_{cf} \cdot \lambda_{rc} \cdot \lambda_{er}\right)}{\lambda} = 3.76 \text{ ft}$	LRFD Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-1	
Since the footing thickness is 3 ft, an adequate space is not available for straight bars. The common practice is to use hooked bars which are set on the bottom reinforcing steel layer.			
Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement			
The following calculations check the required amount of reinforcing steel in the secondary direction to control shrinkage and temperature stresses in the abutment wall.			

The reinforcement at the front face of the abutment wall and the horizontal reinforcement at the	LRFD 5.10.6
interior should satisfy the shrinkage and temperature reinforcement requirements.	

The spacing of reinforcement shall not exceed 12 in. when the wall thickness is greater	LRFD 5.10.6
than 18 in.	

Note: MDOT practice is to use 18 in. as the maximum spacing.

As a trial, select No. 6 bars.	bar := 6
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar	$d_{bST} := Dia(bar) = 0.75 \cdot in$
Cross-section area of the bar	$A_{barST} := Area(bar) = 0.44 \cdot in^2$
Spacing of bars	$s_{barST} := 12 \cdot in$
Reinforcing steel area provided in the section	$A_{sProvidedST} := \frac{A_{barST} \cdot 12in}{s_{barST}} = 0.44 \cdot in^2$
The required minimum shrinkage and temperature reinf	forcement area at the abutment wall was previously

calculated during the design of flexural reinforcement.

Required shrinkage and temperature steel area

Check if the provided steel area > the required area of shrinkage and temperature steel

 $A_{shrink.temp} = 0.35 \cdot in^2$ 

Check := if (A<sub>sProvidedST</sub> > A<sub>shrink.temp</sub>, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

The abutment wall design presented in this step provides the following details:

- No. 9 bars at 12.0 in. spacing ( $A_s = 1.0 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$ ) as the back face flexural reinforcement.
- No. 6 bars at 12.0 in. spacing  $(A_s = 0.44 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft})$  as the front face vertical shrinkage and temperature . reinforcement.
- No. 6 bars at 12.0 in. spacing  $(A_s = 0.44 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft})$  as the front and back face horizontal shrinkage and temperature reinforcement.

BDG 5.16.01

# **Step 2.9 Structural Design of the Footing**

# Description

This step presents the structural design of the abutment footing.

Page	Contents
63	Forces and Moments at the Base of the Abutment Footing
64	Toe Design
70	Heel Design
<b>79</b>	Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Design

# Forces and Moments at the Base of the Abutment Footing

Step 2.5 presents the load effects at the base of the footing under different load cases and limit states. A summary is presented in the following tables:

Factored vertical force, F<sub>VFt</sub> (kip/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	56.79	43.32
LC III	74.75	55.33
LC IV	69.08	52.09

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing,  $V_{uFt} \left( kip/ft \right)$ 

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	16.59	11.06
LC III	16.59	11.06
LC IV	19.85	13.12

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing,  $M_{uFt}$  (kip ft/ft)

	Strength I	Service I
LC I	87.92	32.22
LC III	143.27	69.22
LC IV	140.34	71.62

Note: In this example, the length of the footing and the abutment wall are 65.75 ft and 63.75 ft, respectively. Since the cantilevered length of the footing in the longitudinal direction is limited to 1 ft on each side, the shear and moment acting on the footing in the longitudinal direction are small and do not require flexural and shear designs.

# **Toe Design**

The necessary dimensions, loads, and the bearing pressure distribution are shown in the following figure:



For structural design of an eccentrically loaded foundation, a triangular or trapezoidal bearing pressure distribution is used.

LRFD 10.6.5

LRFD 5.12.8.4

According to the loads in the summary tables, Load Case III under Strength I limit state is identified as the governing load case for the design of flexure and shear at the toe.

e

$$F_{VFtLC3StrI} = 74.75 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft} \qquad M_{uFtLC3StrI} = 143.27 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

$$_{\rm B} := \frac{M_{\rm u} FtLC3StrI}{F_{\rm V} FtLC3StrI} = 1.92 \cdot ft$$

Maximum and minimum bearing pressure

$$q_{\text{max}} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFtLC3StrI}}}{B_{\text{footing}}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_{\text{B}}}{B_{\text{footing}}}\right) = 7.37 \cdot \text{ksf}$$
$$q_{\text{min}} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFtLC3StrI}}}{B_{\text{footing}}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_{\text{B}}}{B_{\text{footing}}}\right) = 1.42 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

The critical section for flexural design is at the front face of the wall.

Bearing pressure at the critical section

$$q_{\text{toe}} \coloneqq q_{\min} + \frac{(q_{\max} - q_{\min})}{B_{\text{footing}}} \cdot (B_{\text{footing}} - l_{\text{toe}}) = 5.77 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

A simplified analysis method is used in this example to determine the maximum moments at the front face of the wall by selecting load factors to produce the maximum bearing pressure and minimum resisting loads. This method is conservative and eliminates the need for using multiple combinations.

As shown below, minimum load factors are used for the resisting forces such as the overburden and footing self-weight to calculate the maximum moment at the front face of the wall.

The moment demand at the critical section

$$M_{rDemand} := q_{toe} \cdot \frac{l_{toe}^2}{2} + (q_{max} - q_{toe}) \cdot \frac{l_{toe}^2}{3} - 0.9 \cdot W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{l_{toe}^2}{2} - 1.0\gamma_s \cdot (h_{toeDepth} - t_{footing}) \cdot \frac{l_{toe}^2}{2}$$
$$M_{rDemand} = 62.51 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

#### **Flexural Resistance**

#### LRFD 5.6.3.2

The design procedure consists of calculating the reinforcing steel area required to satisfy the moment demand and checking the selected steel area against the requirements and limitations for developing an adequate moment capacity, controlling crack width, and managing shrinkage and temperature stresses.

As a trial, select No. 8 bars.	bar := 8		
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar	$d_{\text{bar}} \coloneqq \text{Dia}(\text{bar}) = 1 \cdot \text{in}$		
Cross-section area of a bar on the flexural tension side	$A_{bar} := Area(bar) = 0.79 \cdot in^2$		
The spacing shall not exceed 12 in. when the footing this	ckness is greater than 18 in.	LRFD 5.10.6	
Footing thickness	$t_{footing} = 3 ft$		
Selected spacing of reinforcing steel bars	$s_{bar} := 12 \cdot in$		
Area of tension steel provided in a 1-ft wide strip	$A_{sProvided} := \frac{A_{bar} \cdot 12in}{s_{bar}} = 0.79 \cdot in^2$		
Effective depth	$d_e := t_{footing} - Cover_{ft} = 32 \cdot in$		
Resistance factor for flexure	$\phi_{\mathbf{f}} \coloneqq 0.9$	LRFD 5.5.4.2	
A 1-ft wide strip is selected for the design.			
Width of the compression face of the section	b := 12in		
Stress block factor	$\beta_1 = 0.85$		
Solve the following equation of $A_s$ to calculate the required area of steel to satisfy the moment demand. Use an assumed initial $A_s$ value to solve the equation.			

Initial assumption $A_s := 1 in^2$ Given $M_{rDemand} \cdot ft = \phi_f \cdot A_s \cdot f_y \cdot \left[ d_e - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{A_s \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b} \right) \right]$ Required area of steel $A_{sRequired} := Find(A_s) = 0.44 \cdot in^2$ Check if  $A_{sProvided} > A_{sRequired}$ Check := if  $(A_{sProvided} > A_{sRequired}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$ 

Moment capacity of the section with the provided steel

Distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Check the validity of assumption,  $f_s = f_v$ 

#### **Limits for Reinforcement**

The tensile reinforcement provided must be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the lesser of the cracking moment or 1.33 times the factored moment from the applicable strength limit state load combinations.

 $M_{Provided} := \phi_f \cdot A_{sProvided} \cdot f_y$ 

 $M_{\text{Provided}} = 111.01 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\text{ft}}$ 

 $c := \frac{A_{sProvided} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot \beta_{1} \cdot b} = 1.82 \cdot in$ 

Flexural cracking variability factor

Ratio of specified minimum yield strength to ultimate tensile strength of the nonprestressed reinforcement

Section modulus

Cracking moment

1.33 times the factored moment demand

The factored moment to satisfy the minimum reinforcement requirement

Check the adequacy of section capacity

#### Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement

# Check\_ $f_s := if\left(\frac{c}{d_e} < 0.6, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$ LRFD 5.6.3.3

 $\underline{\left| \mathbf{d}_{e} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{A}_{s} \mathbf{Provided}^{T}}{\mathbf{0.85} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{c} \cdot \mathbf{b}} \right. \right.}$ 

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{1} &:= 1.6 \quad \text{For concrete structures that are not precast segmental} \\ \gamma_{3} &:= 0.67 \quad \text{For ASTM615 grade 60 reinforcement} \\ S_{c} &:= \frac{1}{6} \cdot b \cdot t_{footing}^{2} = 2.59 \times 10^{3} \cdot \text{in}^{3} \\ M_{cr} &:= \frac{\gamma_{3} \cdot \gamma_{1} \cdot f_{r} \cdot S_{c}}{ft} = 96.25 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{ft} \\ 1.33 \cdot M_{rDemand} = 83.14 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{ft} \\ M_{req} &:= \min(1.33M_{rDemand}, M_{cr}) = 83.14 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{ft} \\ \text{Check} &:= \text{if} \left( M_{Provided} > M_{req}, "OK", "Not OK" \right) = "OK" \end{split}$$

#### LRFD 5.6.7

Limiting the width of expected cracks under service conditions extends the service life. The width of potential cracks can be minimized through proper placement of the reinforcement. The check for crack control requires that the actual stress in the reinforcement should not exceed the service limit state stress.

The spacing requirement for the mild steel reinforcement in the layer closest to the tension face

Exposure factor for the Class 1 exposure condition

Distance from extreme tension fiber to the center of the closest flexural reinforcement

$$s \leq \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_{e}}{\beta_{s} \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_{c}$$

$$RFD Eq. 5.6.7-1$$

$$\gamma_{e} := 1.00$$

$$d_{c} := Cover_{ft} = 4 \cdot in$$

Ratio of flexural strain at the extreme tension face to the strain at the centroid of the reinforcement layer closest to the tension face

$$\beta_{\rm s} := 1 + \frac{d_{\rm c}}{0.7(t_{\rm footing} - d_{\rm c})} = 1.18$$

The calculation of tensile stress in nonprestressed reinforcement at the service limit state, fss, requires establishing the neutral axis location and the moment demand at the critical section.

The position of the cross-section's neutral axis is determined through an iterative process to calculate the actual stress in the reinforcement. This process starts with an assumed position of the neutral axis as shown below.

Assumed distance from the extreme compression fiber to the neutral axis

Given  

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot b \cdot x^{2} = \frac{E_{s}}{E_{c}} \cdot A_{sProvided} \cdot (d_{e} - x)$$

$$x_{na} := Find(x) = 5.303 \cdot in$$

Position of the neutral axis

Maximum

Vertical force and moment at the base of the footing from Load Case III under Service I limit state are:

$$F_{VFtLC3SerI} = 55.33 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft} \qquad M_{uFtLC3SerI} = 69.22 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$
Eccentricity in the footing width  
direction under Service I limit state
$$e_{BSerI} := \frac{M_{uFtLC3SerI}}{F_{VFtLC3SerI}} = 1.25 \cdot ft$$
Maximum and minimum bearing  
pressure under Service I limit state
$$q_{maxSerI} := \frac{F_{VFtLC3SerI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_{BSerI}}{B_{footing}}\right) = 4.69 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{minSerI} := \frac{F_{VFtLC3SerI}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_{BSerI}}{B_{footing}}\right) = 1.82 \cdot ksf$$
Soil pressure at the critical section  
under Service I limit state
$$q_{toeSerI} := q_{minSerI} + \frac{(q_{maxSerI} - q_{minSerI})}{B_{footing}} \cdot (B_{footing} - l_{toe})$$

$$q_{toeSerI} = 3.92 \cdot ksf$$

The moment at the critical section under Service I limit state:

$$M_{rSerI} := q_{toeSerI'} \cdot \frac{l_{toe}^2}{2} + (q_{maxSerI} - q_{toeSerI}) \cdot \frac{l_{toe}^2}{3} - W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{l_{toe}^2}{2} - \gamma_s \cdot (h_{toeDepth} - t_{footing}) \cdot \frac{l_{toe}^2}{2}$$

$$M_{rSerI} = 36.8 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$
Tensile force in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment
$$T_s := \frac{M_r SerI}{d_e - \frac{x_{na}}{3}} \cdot ft = 14.6 \cdot kip$$
Stress in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment
$$f_{ss1} := \frac{T_s}{A_s Provided} = 18.49 \cdot ksi$$

 $f_{ss}$  (not to exceed 0.6  $f_{v}$ )

Required reinforcement spacing

Check if the spacing provided < the required spacing

#### Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Requirement

The following calculations check the adequacy of the flexural reinforcing steel to control shrinkage and temperature stresses in the footing:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Minimum area of shrinkage and} \\ \text{temperature reinforcement} \end{array} \qquad A_{\text{shrink.temp}} \coloneqq \min \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left( 0.60 \, \frac{\text{in}}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left[ \left( 0.11 \, \frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left[ \left( 0.11 \, \frac{\text{in}^2}{\text{ft}} \right) \\ \left[ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \text{f}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{B}_{\text{footing}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{In} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}} \\ \frac{1.3 \cdot \text{in} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{in} \cdot \text{ft}}$$

 $b = 12 \cdot in$ 

П

 $f_{ss} := \min(f_{ss1}, 0.6f_v) = 18.49 \cdot ksi$ 

 $s_{barRequired} := \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_{e} \cdot \frac{kip}{in}}{\beta_{s} \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_{c} = 24.13 \cdot in$ 

Check := if(s<sub>bar</sub> < s<sub>barRequired</sub>, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"

 $( \cdot \cdot 2)$ 

#### **Design for Shear**

Effective width of the section

Depth of the equivalent rectangular stress block

Effective shear depth

The critical section for shear at the toe is located at a dista

Distance from the toe to the critical section

Bearing pressure at the critical section

Factored shear force (demand) at the critical section

$$V_{uFtToe} := \frac{(q_{max} + q_d)}{2} \cdot l_{shear} - 0.9 \cdot W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot l_{shear} - 1.0 \cdot \gamma_s \cdot (h_{toeDepth} - t_{footing}) \cdot l_{shear} = 12.16 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

68

$$d_{v} := \max\left(d_{e} - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{e}, 0.72 \cdot t_{\text{footing}}\right) = 31.23 \cdot \text{in} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{LRFD} \\ \text{5.7.2.8} \\ \text{nce } d_{v} \text{ from the front face of the wall.} \end{array}$$

ance 
$$d_v$$
 from the front face of the wall.  
 $l_{shear} := l_{toe} - d_v = 1.98 \text{ ft}$   
 $q_d := q_{min} + \frac{(q_{max} - q_{min})}{B_{footing}} \cdot (B_{footing} - l_{shear}) = 6.68 \cdot \text{ksf}$ 

$$d_{v} := \max\left(d_{e} - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{e}, 0.72 \cdot t_{footing}\right) = 31.23 \cdot \text{in} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{LR} \\ 5.7.2 \\ \text{sance } d_{v} \text{ from the front face of the wall.} \end{array}$$

$$d_{v} := \max\left(d_{e} - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{e}, 0.72 \cdot t_{\text{footing}}\right) = 31.23 \cdot \text{in}$$
  
ance d<sub>v</sub> from the front face of the wall.

 $a := \frac{A_{sProvided} \cdot f_y}{1.55 \cdot in} = 1.55 \cdot in$ 

The simplified procedure for nonprestressed sections can be used for the design of shear in concrete footings when the distance from the point of zero shear to the face of the wall is less than 3d<sub>v</sub>.

 $\beta := 2$ 

Check if the distance  $l_{toe}$  is less than  $3d_v$ 

Check := if 
$$(l_{toe} < 3 \cdot d_V, "Yes", "No") = "Yes"$$

Therefore, the simplified procedure is used.

Factor indicating the ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear

Nominal shear resistance of concrete,  $V_n$ , is calculated as follows:

$$V_{c1} \coloneqq 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi} \cdot b \cdot d_e = 42 \cdot kip \qquad LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-3$$

$$V_{c2} \coloneqq 0.25f_c \cdot b \cdot d_e = 288 \cdot kip \qquad LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-2$$

$$V_n \coloneqq min(V_{c1}, V_{c2}) = 42.03 \cdot kip$$

$$\phi_v \coloneqq 0.9 \qquad LRFD 5.5.4.2$$

$$V_r \coloneqq \phi_v \cdot V_n = 37.83 \cdot kip$$

$$Check \coloneqq if\left(\frac{V_r}{ft} > V_{uFtToe}, "OK", "Not OK"\right) = "OK"$$

Check if the shear capacity is greater than the demand

Factored shear resistance (demand)

Resistance factor for shear

#### **Development Length of Reinforcement**

The flexural reinforcing steel must be developed on each full development length.	side of the critic	al section for its	LRFD 5.10.8.1.2	
Available length for rebar development	l <sub>d.available</sub> :=	$= l_{\text{toe}} - \text{Cover}_{\text{ft}} = 4.25$	ft	
Basic development length	$l_{db} := 2.4 \cdot d_{ba}$	$\operatorname{ar} \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi}} = 6.93  \mathrm{ft}$	LRFD Eq. 5.10.8.2	.1a-2
Reinforcement location factor	$\lambda_{rl} := 1$	No more than 12 in. cor	crete below	
Coating factor	$\lambda_{\rm cf} \coloneqq 1.5$	Epoxy coating bars with	less than 3d <sub>b</sub> cover	
Reinforcement confinement factor	$\lambda_{\rm rc} := 0.4$	For $c_b > 2.5$ in and No. 8	bars or smaller	
Excess reinforcement factor	$\lambda_{\rm er} \coloneqq \frac{A_{\rm sReq}}{A_{\rm sPro}}$	$\frac{\text{puired}}{\text{vided}} = 0.56$ LR	FD Eq. 5.10.8.2.1c-4	
Factor for normal weight concrete	$\lambda := 1$			
Required development length	l <sub>d.required</sub> :=	$l_{db} \cdot \frac{\left(\lambda_{rl} \cdot \lambda_{cf} \cdot \lambda_{rc} \cdot \lambda_{er}\right)}{\lambda}$	= 2.32 ft <b>5.10.8</b>	' Eq. .2.1a-1
Check if $l_{d.available} > l_{d.required}$	Check := $if(l)$	d.available > <sup>l</sup> d.required	$_{1},$ "OK", "Not OK")	= "OK"

# Heel Design

The necessary dimensions, loads, and the bearing pressure distribution are shown in the following figure:



The self-weight of the footing, the weight of soil, live load surcharge and the bearing pressure act on the heel. The critical load combination for the design selects the load factors to produce the minimum vertical loads and maximum eccentricities resulting in the minimum bearing pressure.

The critical location for the design of flexure is located at the back face of the wall. LRFD 5.12.8.4

In the general case of a cantilever abutment wall, where the downward load on the heel is larger than the upward reaction of the soil under the heel, the top of the heel is in tension. Therefore, the critical section for shear is taken at the back face of the abutment wall.

LRFD C5.12.8.6.1

Load cases I, III, and IV under Strength I limit state are used to calculate the maximum moment and shear at the critical sections.

Load Case I

Minimum vertical force	$F_{VFtLC1StrIMin} = 41.63 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$	Step 2.6, sliding resistance check
Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing	$M_{uFtLC1StrI} = 87.92 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$	Step 2.6, summary table
Eccentricity in the footing width direction	$e_{\rm B} := \frac{M_{\rm uFtLC1StrI}}{F_{\rm VFtLC1StrIMin}} = 2.11 \cdot 1$	ft

Maximum and minimum bearing pressure

$$q_{\text{max}} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFtLC1StrIMin}}}{B_{\text{footing}}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_{\text{B}}}{B_{\text{footing}}}\right) = 4.27 \cdot \text{ksf}$$
$$q_{\text{min}} \coloneqq \frac{F_{\text{VFtLC1StrIMin}}}{B_{\text{footing}}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_{\text{B}}}{B_{\text{footing}}}\right) = 0.62 \cdot \text{ksf}$$
$$q_{\text{heelLC1StrI}} \coloneqq q_{\text{min}} + \left(q_{\text{max}} - q_{\text{min}}\right) \frac{l_{\text{heel}}}{B_{\text{footing}}} = 2.61 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

Bearing pressure at the critical section

Factored moment at the critical section

$$M_{rLC1StrI} := 1.25 \cdot W_{c} \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{|heel^{2}}{2} + 1.35EV_{earthBk} \cdot \frac{|heel}{2} - q_{min} \cdot |heel^{2} \cdot \frac{|heel}{2} - \frac{1}{6} (q_{heelLC1StrI} - q_{min}) |heel^{2}$$
$$M_{rLC1StrI} = 120.08 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Factored shear force at the critical section

$$V_{uHeelLC1StrI} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot W_{c} \cdot t_{footing} \cdot l_{heel} + 1.35EV_{earthBk} - q_{min} \cdot l_{heel} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q_{heelLC1StrI} - q_{min}) \cdot l_{heel}$$
$$V_{uHeelLC1StrI} = 22.9 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Load Case III

There are two cases that need to be considered: without and with the live load.

#### Without the live load

Minimum vertical force

 $F_{VFtLC3StrIMin_noLL} = 46.72 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ Step 2.6, sliding resistance check

ft

Factored moment about the longitudinal axis of the footing

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

Maximum and minimum bearing pressure

Bearing pressure at the critical section

$$M_{uFtLC3StrI\_noLL} = 113.82 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft} \qquad Step 2.6, eccentric load limitation check$$
$$e_{B} := \frac{M_{uFtLC3StrI\_noLL}}{F_{vFtLC3StrIMin\_noLL}} = 2.44 \cdot ft$$
$$q_{max} := \frac{F_{vFtLC3StrIMin\_noLL}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_{B}}{B_{footing}}\right) = 5.11 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{\min} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC3StrIMin\_noLL}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_{B}}{B_{footing}}\right) = 0.39 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{\text{heelLC3StrI}} := q_{\text{min}} + (q_{\text{max}} - q_{\text{min}}) \frac{l_{\text{heel}}}{B_{\text{footing}}} = 2.96 \cdot \text{ksf}$$

Factored moment at the critical section  

$$M_{tLC3Strl_noLL} := 1.25 \cdot W_{c} \cdot I_{footing} \cdot \frac{I_{heel}^{2}}{2} + 1.35 \text{EV}_{carthBk} \cdot \frac{I_{heel}}{2} - q_{min} \cdot I_{heel} \cdot \frac{I_{heel}}{2} - \frac{1}{6} (q_{hcclLC3Strl} - q_{min}) I_{heel}^{2} - M_{tLC3Strl_noLL} = 121.93 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$
Factored shear fore at the critical section  

$$V_{uHeelLC3Strl_noLL} := 1.25 \cdot W_{c} \cdot I_{footing} \cdot I_{heel} + 1.35 \text{EV}_{earthBk} - q_{min} \cdot I_{heel} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q_{heelLC3Strl} - q_{min}) \cdot I_{heel} - V_{uHeelLC3Strl} - Q_{min} \cdot I_{heel} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q_{heelLC3Strl} - q_{min}) \cdot I_{heel} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q_{heelLC3Strl} - q_{$$
## Load Case IV

There are two cases that need to be considered: without and with the live load surcharge.

Without the live load surcharge

Minimum vertical force
$$F_{VFILC4StrlMin_noLS} = 46.72, \frac{kip}{n}$$
Step 2.6, skiding  
resistance checkFactored moment about the longitudinal axis of  
the footing $M_{uFILC4Strl_noLS} = 116.67, \frac{kip}{n}$  $Step 2.6, eccentric loadlimitation checkEccentricity in the footing width direction $e_B := \frac{M_uFILC4Strl_noLS}{F_VFILC4StrlMin_noLS} = 2.5, ft$  $Step 2.6, eccentric loadlimitation checkMaximum and minimum bearing pressure $q_{max} := \frac{F_VFILC4StrlMin_noLS}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 5.17 \cdot ksf$ Maximum and minimum bearing pressure $q_{max} := \frac{F_VFILC4StrlMin_noLS}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 0.33 \cdot ksf$ Bearing pressure at the critical section $q_{hcelLC4Strl} := q_{min} + (q_{max} - q_{min})_{B_{footing}} = 2.96 \cdot ksf$ Factored moment at the critical section $w_{tLC4Strl_noLS} = 123.54, \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$  $M_{tLC4Strl_noLS} := 1.25 \cdot W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{heel^2}{2} + 1.35EV_{earthBk} \cdot \frac{heel}{2} - q_{min} \cdot heel - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(q_{heelLC4Strl - q_{min}\right) \cdot heel^2$  $W_{tLC4Strl_noLS} := 1.25 \cdot W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot heel + 1.35EV_{earthBk} - q_{min} \cdot heel - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(q_{heelLC4Strl - q_{min}}\right) \cdot heel$  $V_{uHeelLC4Strl_noLS} := 1.25 \cdot W_c \cdot t_{footing} \cdot heel + 1.35EV_{earthBk} - q_{min} \cdot heel - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(q_{heelLC4Strl - q_{min}}\right) \cdot heel$  $V_{uHeelLC4Strl_noLS} := 22.65 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ Winnum vertical force $F_{VFHLC4Strl_m} = 50.61 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ Step 2.6, skiding  
resistance checkMinimum vertical force $F_{VFHLC4Strl_n} = 140.34 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$ Step 2.6, submary  
tube$$ 

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

FVFtLC4StrIMin

e<sub>B</sub> :=

 $-=2.77 \cdot \mathrm{ft}$ 

Maximum and minimum bearing pressure

$$q_{max} := \frac{F_{VFtLC4StrIMin}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 5.89 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{min} := \frac{F_{VFtLC4StrIMin}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 0.06 \cdot ksf$$

$$q_{heelLC4StrI} := q_{min} + \left(q_{max} - q_{min}\right) \frac{l_{heel}}{B_{footing}} = 3.23 \cdot ksf$$

1

Bearing pressure at the critical section

Factored moment at the critical section

$$M_{rLC4StrI} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot W_{c} \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{l_{heel}^{2}}{2} + 1.35 EV_{earthBk} \cdot \frac{l_{heel}}{2} - q_{min} \cdot l_{heel} \cdot \frac{l_{heel}}{2} - \frac{1}{6} (q_{heelLC4StrI} - q_{min}) l_{heel}^{2}$$
$$M_{rLC4StrI} = 127.15 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$

Factored shear force at the critical section

$$V_{uHeelLC4StrI} \coloneqq 1.25 \cdot W_{c} \cdot t_{footing} \cdot l_{heel} + 1.35EV_{earthBk} - q_{min} \cdot l_{heel} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q_{heelLC4StrI} - q_{min}) \cdot l_{heel}$$
$$V_{uHeelLC4StrI} = 22.6 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

Moment demand at the critical section

$$M_{\text{HeelDemand}} \coloneqq \max\left(M_{\text{rLC1StrI}}, M_{\text{rLC3StrI}}, \text{noLL}, M_{\text{rLC3StrI}}, M_{\text{rLC4StrI}}, M_{\text{rLC4StrI}}\right) = 127.15 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\text{ft}}$$

Shear demand at the critical section

$$V_{\text{HeelDemand}} \coloneqq \max(V_{\text{uHeelLC1StrI}}, V_{\text{uHeelLC3StrI_noLL}}, V_{\text{uHeelLC3StrI}}, V_{\text{uHeelLC4StrI_noLS}}, V_{\text{uHeelLC4StrI}})$$

 $V_{\text{HeelDemand}} = 22.9 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$ 

### **Flexural Resistance**

The design procedure consists of calculating the reinforcing steel area required to satisfy the moment demand and checking the selected steel area against the requirements and limitations for developing an adequate moment capacity, controlling crack width, and managing shrinkage and temperature stresses.

As a trial, select No. 9 bars.	bar := 9	
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel bar	$d_{\text{bar}} := \text{Dia}(\text{bar}) = 1.13 \cdot \text{in}$	
Cross-section area of a bar on the flexural tension side	$A_{\text{bar}} := \text{Area}(\text{bar}) = 1 \cdot \text{in}^2$	
The spacing shall not exceed 12 in. when the footing thickness is greater than 18 in.		LRFD 5.10.6
Footing thickness	$t_{footing} = 3 ft$	
Selected spacing of reinforcing steel bars	$s_{har} := 10 \cdot in$	

LRFD 5.6.3.2

Area of tension steel provided in a 1-ft  
wide strip
$$A_{sProvided} := \frac{A_{bar} \cdot 12in}{s_{bar}} = 1.2 \cdot in^2$$
Effective depth $d_c := t_{footing} - Coverf_t = 32 \cdot in$ Resistance factor for flexure $\phi_f := 0.9$ A 1-ft wide strip is selected for the design.Width of the compression face of the section $b := 12in$ Stress block factor $\beta_1 = 0.85$ Solve the following equation of A<sub>4</sub> to calculate the required area of steel to satisfy the moment demand. Use an  
assumed initial A<sub>4</sub> value to solve the equation.Initial assumption $A_s := 1in^2$ GivenM<sub>HeelDemand</sub> · ft =  $\phi_f \cdot A_{s'} f_{y'} \left[ d_c - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{A_s \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b} \right) \right]$ Required area of steel $A_{sRequired} := Find(A_s) = 0.91 \cdot in^2$ Check if A<sub>abvoided</sub> > A<sub>stequired</sub>Check := if  $(A_{sProvided} \cdot f_y \cdot \frac{d_c}{d_c} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{A_s \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b} \right) \right]$ Moment capacity of the section  
with the provided steelMprovided :=  $\phi_f \cdot A_s Provided \cdot f_y \cdot \frac{d_c}{d_c} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{A_s Provided' f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot b} \right) \right]$ Distance from the extreme compression  
fiber to the neutral axis $c := \frac{A_s Provided' f_y}{0.85 \cdot f_c \cdot \beta_1 \cdot b} = 2.77 \cdot in$ Check the validity of the assumption,  $f_s = f_y$ Check  $f_s := if \left( \frac{d_c}{d_c} < 0.6, "OK", "Not OK" \right) = "OK"$ Limits for ReinforcementLEPD 56.33The tensile reinforcement provided to be deequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the

The tensile reinforcement provided must be adequate to develop a factored flexural resistance at least equal to the lesser of the cracking moment or 1.33 times the factored moment from the applicable strength limit state load combinations.

Flexural cracking variability factor	$\gamma_1 \coloneqq 1.6$	For concrete structures that are not
Ratio of specified minimum yield strength to ultimate tensile strength of	$\gamma_2 := 0.67$	
the nonprestressed reinforcement	13	For AS1M615 grade 60 reinforcement
Section modulus	$S_c := \frac{1}{6} \cdot b \cdot t_{footin}$	$ng^2 = 2.59 \times 10^3 \cdot in^3$

 $M_{cr} := \frac{\gamma_3 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot f_r \cdot S_c}{ft} = 96.25 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$  $1.33 \cdot M_{\text{HeelDemand}} = 169.12 \cdot \frac{\text{kip} \cdot \text{ft}}{\text{ft}}$ 1.33 times the factored moment demand  $M_{req} := min(1.33M_{HeelDemand}, M_{cr}) = 96.25 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$ Check := if  $(M_{Provided} > M_{req}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$ 

## **Control of Cracking by Distribution of Reinforcement**

Limiting the width of expected cracks under service conditions extends the service life. The width of potential cracks can be minimized through proper placement of the reinforcement. The check for crack control requires that the actual stress in the reinforcement should not exceed the service limit state stress.

The spacing requirement for the mild steel reinforcement in the layer closest to the tension face	$s \le \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_e}{\beta_s \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_c$ LRFD Eq. 5.6.7-
Exposure factor for the Class 1 exposure condition	$\gamma_e := 1.00$
Distance from extreme tension fiber to the center of the closest flexural reinforcement	$d_c := Cover_{ft} = 4 \cdot in$
Ratio of flexural strain at the extreme tension face to the strain at the centroid of the reinforcement layer closest to the tension face	$\beta_{\rm s} \coloneqq 1 + \frac{\rm d_c}{0.7 (t_{footing} - \rm d_c)} = 1.18$

The calculation of tensile stress in nonprestressed reinforcement at the service limit state, f<sub>ss</sub> requires establishing the neutral axis location and the moment demand at the critical section.

The position of the cross-section's neutral axis is determined through an iterative process to calculate the actual stress in the reinforcement. This process starts with an assumed position of the neutral axis as shown below.

Assumed distance from the extreme  
compression fiber to the neutral axis  
Given 
$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot b \cdot x^2 = \frac{E_s}{E_c} \cdot A_{sProvided} \cdot (d_e - x)$$
  
Position of the neutral axis  
Maximum and minimum bearing pressure  
under Service I limit state  
(from the toe design)  
Bearing pressure at the critical section  
 $q_{HeelSerI} := q_{minSerI} + \frac{(q_{maxSerI} - q_{minSerI})}{B_{footing}} \cdot l_{heel} = 3.38 \cdot ksf$ 

### **LRFD 5.6.7**

### Cracking moment

The factored moment to satisfy the minimum reinforcement requirement

Check the adequacy of section capacity

-1

The moment at the critical section under Service I limit state

$$M_{heelSerI} := W_{c} \cdot t_{footing} \cdot \frac{l_{heel}^{2}}{2} + EV_{earthBk} \cdot \frac{l_{heel}}{2} \cdots + V_{LSFooting} \cdot \frac{l_{heel}}{2} - q_{minSerI} \cdot \frac{l_{heel}^{2}}{2} - (q_{HeelSerI} - q_{minSerI}) \cdot \frac{l_{heel}^{2}}{6}$$

$$M_{heelSerI} = 41.33 \cdot \frac{kip \cdot ft}{ft}$$
Tensile force in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment
$$T_{s} := \frac{M_{heelSerI}}{4} \cdot ft = 16.6 \cdot kip$$
Stress in the reinforcing steel due to the service limit state moment
$$f_{ss1} := \frac{T_{s}}{A_{s}Provided}} = 13.84 \cdot ksi$$
Required reinforcement spacing
$$s_{barRequired} := \frac{700 \cdot \gamma_{c} \cdot \frac{kip}{in}}{\beta_{s} \cdot f_{ss}} - 2 \cdot d_{c} = 34.92 \cdot in$$
Check if the spacing provided < the required minimum shrinkage and temperature reinforcement area was calculated previously for the toe.
Required shrinkage and temperature steel area
$$A_{shrink.temp} = 0.33 \cdot in^{2}$$
Check if the provided area of shrinkage and temperature steel
Design for Shear

The critical section for shear in the heel is located at the back

Shear demand at the critical section (max. from the load cases)

Effective width of the section

Depth of the equivalent rectangular stress block

t face of the abutment wall.  

$$V_{\text{HeelDemand}} = 22.9 \cdot \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$$

$$a := \frac{A_{sProvided} \cdot f_{y}}{0.85 \cdot f_{c} \cdot b} = 2.35 \cdot in$$

 $b = 12 \cdot in$ 

77

LRFD C5.12.8.6.1

Effective shear depth

$$d_{V} := \max\left(d_{e} - \frac{a}{2}, 0.9 \cdot d_{e}, 0.72 \cdot t_{\text{footing}}\right) = 30.82 \cdot \text{in}$$
 LRFD 5.7.2.8

The simplified procedure for nonprestressed sections can be used for the design of shear in concrete footings when the distance from the point of zero shear to the face of the wall is less than 3d<sub>v</sub>.

 $\beta :=$ 

Check if the distance  $l_{heel}$  is less than  $3d_v$ 

Check := if 
$$(l_{heel} < 3 \cdot d_v, "Yes", "No") = "No"$$

Therefore, the simplified procedure is used.

Factor indicating the ability of diagonally cracked concrete to transmit tension and shear

Nominal shear resistance of concrete,  $\boldsymbol{V}_n,$  is calculated as follows:

$V_{c1} \coloneqq 0.0316 \cdot \beta \cdot \sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi \cdot b \cdot d_e} = 42 \cdot kip$	LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-3
$V_{c2} := 0.25 f_c \cdot b \cdot d_e = 288 \cdot kip$	LRFD Eq. 5.7.3.3-2
$V_n := \min(V_{c1}, V_{c2}) = 42.03 \cdot \text{kip}$	
$\phi_{v} := 0.9$	LRFD 5.5.4.2
$V_r := \phi_v \cdot V_n = 37.83 \cdot kip$	
Check := if $\left(\frac{V_r}{ft} > V_{\text{HeelDemand}}, "OK"\right)$	, "Not OK") = "OK"

Resistance factor for shear

Factored shear resistance (capacity)

Check if the shear capacity is greater than the demand

### **Development Length of Reinforcement**

.

The flexural reinforcing steel must be developed on each side of the critical section for its	LRFD 5.10.8.1.2
full development length.	

Available length for rebar development	$I_{d.available} := I_{heel} - Cover_{ft} = 8.92 \text{ ft}$		
Basic development length	$l_{db} := 2.4 \cdot d_{bar} \cdot \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{f_c \cdot ksi}} = 7.82 \text{ ft}$ LRFD Eq. 5.10.8.2.1a-2		
Reinforcement location factor	$\lambda_{rl} := 1.3$ More than 12 in. concrete below		
Coating factor	$\lambda_{cf} := 1.5$		
Reinforcement confinement factor	$\lambda_{\rm rc} := 0.4$		
Excess reinforcement factor	$\lambda_{\text{er}} := \frac{A_{\text{sRequired}}}{A_{\text{sProvided}}} = 0.76$ LRFD Eq. 5.10.8.2.1c-4		
Factor for normal weight concrete	$\lambda := 1$		
Required development length	$l_{d.required} \coloneqq l_{db} \cdot \frac{\left(\lambda_{rl} \cdot \lambda_{cf} \cdot \lambda_{rc} \cdot \lambda_{er}\right)}{\lambda} = 4.61 \text{ ft}  \begin{array}{c} \text{LRFD Eq.} \\ \text{5.10.8.2.1a-1} \end{array}$		
Check if $l_{d.available} > l_{d.required}$	Check := if $(l_{d.available} > l_{d.required}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$		

# Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcement Design

The following calculations check the required amount of reinforcing steel in the secondary direction to control shrinkage and temperature stresses in the footing.

The reinforcement along the longitudinal direction of the footing at the top and bottom should satisfy the shrinkage and temperature reinforcement requirements.	LRFD 5.10.6
The spacing of reinforcement shall not exceed 12 in. when the footing thickness is greater than 18 in.	LRFD 5.10.6

Note: MDOT practice is to use 18 in. as the maximum spacing.

As a trial, select No. 6 bars.	bar := $6$
Nominal diameter of a reinforcing steel ba	ar $d_{bST} := Dia(bar) = 0.75 \cdot in$
Cross-section area of the bar	$A_{barST} := Area(bar) = 0.44 \cdot in^2$
Selected bar spacing	$s_{barST} := 12 \cdot in$
Reinforcing steel area provided in the section	$A_{sProvidedST} := \frac{A_{barST} \cdot 12in}{s_{barST}} = 0.44 \cdot in^2$
Required minimum area of shrinkage and temperature reinforcement in the footing	$A_{shrink.temp} = 0.33 \cdot in^2$
Check if the provided steel area >	Check := if $(A_{sProvidedST} > A_{shrink.temp}, "OK", "Not OK") = "OK"$
the required area for shrinkage and temperature steel	

The footing design presented in this step provides the following details:

- No. 9 bars at 10.0 in. spacing ( $A_s = 1.0$  in.<sup>2</sup>/ft) as the transverse flexural reinforcement at the top of the footing.
- No. 8 bars at 12.0 in. spacing (A<sub>s</sub> = 0.79 in.<sup>2</sup>/ft) as the transverse flexural reinforcement at the bottom of the footing.
- No. 6 bars at 12.0 in. spacing ( $A_s = 0.44 \text{ in.}^2/\text{ft}$ ) as the longitudinal shrinkage and temperature reinforcement at the top and bottom of the footing.

**BDG 5.16.01** 



Note: Refer to MDOT Bridge Design Guides for additional bars, laps, embedment, and keyway dimensions. They are not shown in this drawing for clarity of main reinforcement.

# Appendix 2.A Braking Force and Wind Load Calculation

# Description

This appendix presents the braking force and wind load calculation procedures for illustrative purposes.

# **Braking Force**

Since the abutments have expansion bearings, the braking force along the longitudinal direction of the bridge is resisted by the fixed bearings at the pier.

The braking force (BR) shall be taken as the greater of:

LRFD 3.6.4

- 25% of the axle weight of the design truck / tandem
- 5% of the design truck / tandem weight plus lane load

The braking force is applied on all design lanes assuming that the bridge carries traffic in one direction.

Braking force per lane due to 25% of the axle weight of the design truck / tandem

 $BR_1 := 25\% \cdot (32kip + 32kip + 8kip) = 18 \cdot kip$ 

Braking force per lane due to 5% of the design truck / tandem weight plus plus lane load

$$BR_2 := 5\% \cdot \left( 72kip + 0.64 \frac{kip}{ft} \cdot 2L_{span} \right) = 10 \cdot kip$$

Note: The MDOT practice, as reflected in the BDS, is to take only 5% of the design truck plus lane load as the breaking force. In addition, the HL-93 modification factor is not included in the braking force calculation. This example describes the MDOT practice.

Braking force selected for the design

```
BRK := BR_2 = 10 \cdot kip
```

The braking force transmitted to the bearings based on the number of lanes with the live load.

Braking force due to 1 loaded lane	$BRK_{1L} := BRK \cdot MPF(1) = 12 \cdot kip$
Braking force due to 2 loaded lanes	$BRK_{2L} := 2BRK \cdot MPF(2) = 20 \cdot kip$
Braking force due to 3 loaded lanes	$BRK_{3L} := 3BRK \cdot MPF(3) = 25.5 \cdot kip$
Braking force due to 4 loaded lanes	$BRK_{4L} := 4BRK \cdot MPF(4) = 26 \cdot kip$
Braking force due to 5 loaded lanes	$BRK_{5L} := 5BRK \cdot MPF(5) = 32.5 \cdot kip$

The braking force is assumed to be equally shared by the bearings at the pier.

### Wind Load

Since the expansion bearings are located over the abutments, the longitudinal component of the superstructure wind load is resisted by the fixed bearings at the bent.

### Wind Load on Superstructure

#### LRFD 3.8.1.1, 3.8.1.2

To calculate the wind load acting on the superstructure, the total depth from the top of the barrier to the bottom of the girder is required. Once the total depth is known, the wind exposure area is calculated. The wind pressure and the exposure area are used to calculate the wind load.

Total depth of the superstructure	$D_{total} := h_{Railing} + t_{Deck} + t_{Haunch} + d_{Girder} = 7.08 \text{ ft}$		
Span length for the superstructure wind load on the abutment	$L_{Wind} := \frac{L_{span}}{2} = 50  \text{ft}$		
Effective wind area for the superstructure wind load on the abutment	A <sub>WindSuper</sub> :	$= D_{total} \cdot L_{Wind} = 354.17  \text{ft}^2$	
Basic wind speed (mph)	V <sub>w</sub> := 115	LRFD 3.8.1.1	
Gust effect factor	Gust := 1	LRFD Table 3.8.1.2.1-1, no sound barrier	

Drag coefficient, superstructure	$C_{DSup} := 1.1$	LRFD Tab	le 3.8.1.2.1-2	
Superstructure height (ft), assuming that the structure height is less than 33 ft	Z := 33			
Wind exposure category				
Pressure exposure and elevation coefficient for Strength III and Service IV load combinations	$K_{ZSup} := \frac{\left(2.5 \cdot 1\right)}{1}$	$\frac{\ln\left(\frac{Z}{0.9832}\right) + 6.87\right)^2}{345.6} =$	0.71 I	LRFD Eq. 3.8.1.2.1-2
Wind pressure on superstructure, Strength III, Service IV (ksf)	PZSup.StrIII.ServIV :=	$2.56 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot K_{ZSup} \cdot V_{W}^{2}$	•Gust•C <sub>DSup</sub> =	= 0.03
Wind pressure on superstructure, Strength V, Service I (ksf)	$P_{ZSup.StrV.ServI} := 2.$	$.56 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot V_{W}^{2} \cdot \text{Gust} \cdot \text{C}_{DS}$	Sup = 0.04	LRFD Eq. 3.8.1.2.1-1
The wind load from the superstructure tran angle of the wind. The attack angle is me longitudinal axis.	nsmitted to the abutment dep asured from a line perpendic	ends on the attack cular to the girder	LRFD 3	3.8.1.2.2
Since the span length and height of this gir respectively, the following wind load com	rder bridge are less than 150 ponents are used:	) ft and 33 ft	LRFD	3.8.1.2.3a
<ul> <li>Transverse: 100 percent of the wind load calculated based on wind direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the bridge.</li> <li>Longitudinal: 25 percent of the transverse load.</li> </ul>				
The transverse component of the wind loa	ad acting on the abutment			
$WS_{STran.StrIII.ServIV} := P_{ZSup.StrIII.ServIV} \cdot ksf \cdot A_{WindSuper} = 9.35 \cdot kip$				
$WS_{STran.StrV.ServI} := P_{ZSup.StrV.ServI} \cdot ksf \cdot A_{WindSuper} = 13.19 \cdot kip$				
Wind Load on Substructure				
The wind pressure on the abutment wall is ignored since the wall is usually shielded from wind by wingwalls or an embankment fill.				
Wind Load on Live Load				
<ul> <li>Since the span length and height of this girespectively, the following wind load com</li> <li>0.10 klf, transverse</li> <li>0.04 klf, longitudinal</li> </ul>	rder bridge are less than 150 ponents are used:	) ft and 33 ft	LRFD	3.8.1.3
The transverse and longitudinal components of the wind load acting on the live load and transmitted to the abutment				
$WL_{Tran} := 0.1 \frac{kir}{ft}$	$V \cdot L_{\text{Wind}} = 5 \cdot \text{kip}$			
$WL_{Long} \coloneqq 0.04 -$	$\frac{\operatorname{kip}}{\operatorname{ft}} \cdot L_{\operatorname{Wind}} = 2 \cdot \operatorname{kip}$			

# Appendix 2.B Sliding Resistance Check for Spread Footings on Clay

# Description

This appendix presents the calculation procedure for checking the sliding resistance of spread footings located on a clay layer.

Undrained shear strength (provided by the Geotechnical Service Section)



For footings that rest on clay, where footings are supported on at least 6.0 in. of compacted granular material, the sliding resistance may be taken as the lesser of

- the cohesion of the clay, or
- one-half the normal stress on the interface between the footing and soil.



Figure 10.6.3.4-1—Procedure for Estimating Nominal Sliding Resistance for Walls on Clay

 $\phi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \coloneqq 0.85$ 

The strength limit states are used for this check. Since the resistance is proportional to the vertical loads, the following conditions are used.

- Live load on the bridge is excluded.
- Minimum load factors are used for all vertical loads.
- Maximum load factors are used for the loads that contribute to the horizontal sliding forces.
- Since DW is the future wearing surface load, it is excluded from all load combinations.

Resistance factor for sliding

#### LRFD Table 10.5.5.5.2-1

According to the loads in the summary tables provided at the end of Step 2.5, LC I or IV could control the design. Therefore, both load cases are checked.

#### Load Case I

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

Factored sliding force (demand)

Minimum vertical load

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

$$V_{uFtLC1StrI} = 16.59 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$V_{sliding} := V_{uFtLC1StrI} = 16.59 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$F_{VFtLC1StrIMin} = 41.63 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$$

$$e_{B} := \frac{M_{uFtLC1StrI}}{F_{VFtLC1StrIMin}} = 2.11 \cdot ft$$

LRFD 10.6.3.4

Maximum and minimum bearing pressure

$$\begin{split} q_{max} &\coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC1StrIMin}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 4.27 \cdot \text{ksf} \\ q_{min} &\coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC1StrIMin}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 0.62 \cdot \text{ksf} \\ B_{Su} &\coloneqq B_{footing} \cdot \frac{q_{max} - 2 \cdot S_u}{q_{max} - q_{min}} = 5.93 \text{ ft} \\ V_{resistance} &\coloneqq \varphi_{\tau} \cdot \left[B_{Su} \cdot S_u + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(B_{footing} - B_{Su}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}q_{min} + S_u\right)\right] \\ V_{resistance} &= 16.09 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft} \\ \text{Check} &\coloneqq \text{if} \left(V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}, \text{"OK"}, \text{"Not OK"}\right) = \text{"Not OK"} \end{split}$$

greater than 2S<sub>u</sub>

Width of the footing with a normal stress

Sliding resistance (capacity)

Check if  $V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}$ 

The sliding resistance is inadequate. Since MDOT typically does not use keyways, consider widening the footing to enhance the sliding resistance. When the footing width is too excessive and uneconomical, consider using EPS as a backfill material.

### Load Case IV

Factored shear force parallel to the transverse axis of the footing

Factored sliding force (demand)

Minimum vertical load

Eccentricity in the footing width direction

Maximum and minimum bearing pressure

Width of the footing with a normal stress greater than  $2S_u$ 

Sliding resistance (capacity)

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{uFtLC4StrI} = 19.85 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft} \\ & V_{sliding} \coloneqq V_{uFtLC4StrI} - 1.75P_{LSFooting} = 16.73 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft} \\ & FVFtLC4StrIMin_noLS = 46.72 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft} \quad From Section 2.6, \\ & sliding resistance check \\ & e_B \coloneqq \frac{M_{uFtLC4StrI_noLS}}{F_{VFtLC4StrIMin_noLS}} = 2.5 \cdot ft \\ & q_{max} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC4StrIMin_noLS}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 5.17 \cdot ksf \\ & q_{min} \coloneqq \frac{F_{VFtLC4StrIMin_noLS}}{B_{footing}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{6 \cdot e_B}{B_{footing}}\right) = 0.33 \cdot ksf \\ & B_{Su} \coloneqq B_{footing} \cdot \frac{q_{max} - 2 \cdot S_u}{q_{max} - q_{min}} = 7.62 \text{ ft} \\ & V_{resistance} \coloneqq \varphi_{\tau} \cdot \left[B_{Su} \cdot S_u + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (B_{footing} - B_{Su})\left(\frac{1}{2}q_{min} + S_u\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Check :=  $if(V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}, "OK", "Not OK") = "Not OK"$ 

Check if  $V_{resistance} > V_{sliding}$ 

 $V_{resistance} = 16.34 \cdot \frac{kip}{ft}$ 

The sliding resistance is inadequate. Since MDOT typically does not use keyways, consider widening the footing to enhance the sliding resistance. When the footing width is too excessive and uneconomical, consider using EPS as a backfill material.