

		MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
Overview		
<ul> <li>Background on Speed Limit Policies in Michigan and Nationwide</li> </ul>	SPEED	SPEED
Study Objectives	70	55
Public Perceptions		JJ
Operational Impacts		
Safety Impacts	SPEED	SPEED
Economic Impacts		
Conclusions	75	65
4=		2

## US Speed Limit Policy – An Overview

- Posted speed limits are a means of indicating the maximum permissible speed under ideal roadway, traffic and weather conditions.
- Speed limits also provide a basis for the enforcement of unreasonably high travel speeds.
- These limits are typically established in consideration of the design speed of the road, which influences various geometric design features (e.g., stopping sight distance, minimum horizontal curve radius, maximum grade).
- Three major legislative decisions have influenced speed limit policies in the United States.
  - Emergency Highway Energy Conservation Act of 1974
  - Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987
  - National Highway System Designation Act of 1995

# Michigan Speed Limit Increases Michigan Public Act 445 and 447 of 2016 • Speed limits increased from 70 mph to 75 mph on 614 miles of freeways. • Speed limits increased from 55 mph to 65 mph on 943 miles of non-freeways. • Truck speed limits increased to 65 mph on all state trunklines where passenger car speed limits were 65 mph or higher.

MICHIGAN STATE

# **Michigan Speed Limit Increases**

- The objective of this study was to evaluate the impacts of the resultant speed limit increases, which went into effect in 2017.
  - Public perception surveys
  - Examination of changes in travel speeds
  - · Assessment of impacts on traffic crashes
  - · Evaluation of economic impacts

#### **Examining Public Perceptions of Speed Limit Changes**

- MSU State-of-the-State Survey
  - Survey questions approved in November 2019
  - Survey launched January 2020
- Data collected from YouGov.com
  - 1000 respondents completed the survey
  - Sample representative of Michigan adult population
  - Screening to identify respondents with experience driving on roadways of interest

# Description Under ideal conditions, what speed do you typically drive on: Michigan freeways with a 70 mph speed limit? Michigan rural freeways with a 75 mph speed limit? Northern Michigan highways with a 55 mph speed limit? If it were up to you, what would the speed limit be on: Michigan rural freeways? Rural highways in Northern Michigan? Do you feel more or less safe since the speed limit increases? Should the speed limit for trucks and buses be the same, 5 mph lower, or 10 mph lower than for passenger cars on those freeways? How strongly do various factors impact your speed while driving?



MICHIGAN STATE





MICHIGAN STATE





MICHIGAN STATE































Freeways: Comparison of Pre- and Post- Increase **Annual Crash Frequencies** 

Crash Type		Co	mparison Routes (70 Mi 2,472 Miles	PH)	Speed	Limit Increase Routes (* 1,217 Miles	75 MPH)
		Pre-Conversion	Post-Conversion	Percent Change	Pre-Conversion	Post-Conversion	Percent Change
	Fatal	80.0	83.5	4. <b>4</b> %	10.7	16.5	54 <mark>,7%</mark>
	Serious Injury	368.0	382.0	3.	53.3	63.5	19
All Constants	Minor Injury	1,190.3	1,279.5	7.	150.0	203.5	35,7%
All Crashes	Possible Injury	3,445.3	3,386.0	-1.7%	282.7	318.5	12.7%
	Property Damage Only	22,641.3	23,543.5	4.0%	3,137.0	3,638.5	16.0%
	Total	27,725.0	28,674.5	3.4%	3,633.7	4,240.5	16. <mark>7%</mark>
Ar	nimal Crashes	2,621.0	2,867.0	9. 极	1,665.3	1,952.5	17. <mark>2%</mark>
	Fata	80.0	83.5	4.4%	10.7	15.5	45 <mark>3%</mark>
	Serious Injury	365.0	375.0	2.1%	51.3	60.0	16. <mark>9%</mark>
	Minor Injury	1,160.0	1,236.5	6.	132.7	171.0	28 <mark>,9%</mark>
	Possible Injury	3,358.3	3,274.5	-2(5%	243.0	261.0	7. 🚮
Non Animal	Property Damage Only	20,140.7	20,838.0	3.5%	1,530.7	1,780.5	16. <mark>3%</mark>
Non-Animai	Total	25,104.0	25,807.5	<b>2.8</b> %	1,968.3	2,288.0	16. <mark>2%</mark>
Crashes	Single Vehicle	9,806.7	9,351.5	-46%	1,382.3	1,559.5	12. <mark>8%</mark>
	Rear End	8,802.0	9,373.5	6.	287.0	332.0	15.7%
	Sideswipe Same	4,812.3	5,304.0	10.29%	191.3	242.0	26 <mark>5%</mark>
		1697.0	1.778.5	5.76	107.7	154.5	43 <mark>5%</mark>
	Other	1,003.0					

MICHIGAN STATE

MICHIGAN STATE

# Freeways: Comparison of Pre- and Post- Increase Crash Rates (per 100 MVMT)

Crash Type		Co	mparison Routes (70 M 2,472 Miles	PH)	Speed Limit Increase Routes (75 MPH) 1,217 Miles			
		Pre-Conversion	Post-Conversion	Percent Change	Pre-Conversion	Post-Conversion	Percent Change	
	Fatal	0.32	0.32	-1 <b>5</b> %	0.33	0.44	33. <mark>0%</mark>	
	Serious Injury	1.47	1.44	<b>-2]</b> D%	1.64	1.68	2.3%	
	Minor Injury	4.76	4.83	1.5%	4.62	5.39	16. <mark>6%</mark>	
All Crashes	Possible Injury	13.78	12.79	<b>-7.</b> 2%	8.72	8.44	-31%	
	Property Damage Only	90.57	88.92	-1 <b>[8</b> %	96.72	96.43	-0 3%	
	Total	110.91	108.30	-2.3%	112.03	112.39	0.\$%	
Aı	nimal Crashes	10.48	10.83	3.3%	51.35	51.75	0.\$%	
	Fatal	0.32	0.32	-1 <b>5</b> %	0.33	0.41	24.9%	
	Serious Injury	1.46	1.42	- <b>3</b> 0%	1.58	1.59	0.\$%	
	Minor Injury	4.64	4.67	0.6%	4.09	4.53	10.8%	
	Possible Injury	13.43	12.37	<b>-7.</b> 9%	7.49	6.92	-757%	
Non Antonal	Property Damage Only	80.57	78.70	-213%	47.19	47.19	0.0%	
Non-Animai	Total	100.42	97.47	-2.9%	60.69	60.64	-0,1%	
Crasnes	Single Vehicle	39.23	35.32	-10 <mark>0%</mark>	42.62	41.33	- <b>3.</b> D%	
	Rear End	35.21	35.40	0.5%	8.85	8.80	-0,6%	
	Sideswipe Same	19.25	20.03	4. 💁	5.90	6.41	8.7%	
	Other	6.73	6.72	-0,2%	3.32	4.09	23. <mark>4%</mark>	
				200/	60.60	CO C/	019/	

MICHIGAN STATE

# Two-Lane Highways: Comparison of Pre- and Post- Increase Annual Crash Frequencies

Crash Type		Co	mparison Routes (55 Ml 4,589 Miles	PH)	Speed	Limit Increase Routes (6 932 Miles	55 MPH)
		Pre-Conversion	Post-Conversion	Percent Change	Pre-Conversion	Post-Conversion	Percent Change
	Fatal	98.3	100.5	2.2%	3.0	6.5	116. <mark>7%</mark>
	Serious Injury	314.0	370.0	17.	28.3	35.5	25.3%
All Courses	Minor Injury	724.3	780.0	7.1%	52.0	53.5	2.9%
All Crashes	Possible Injury	1,354.3	1,262.0	-6[8%	75.7	94.5	24.9%
	Property Damage Only	14,489.3	16,299.5	12.5%	1,452.7	2,066.5	42.3%
	Total	16,980.3	18,812.0	10. <mark>8</mark> %	1,611.7	2,256.5	40. <mark>0%</mark>
A	nimal Crashes	9,161.3	11,135.5	21. <mark>5%</mark>	1,124.0	1,659.5	47. <mark>6%</mark>
	Fata	97.3	98.5	1.2%	3.0	6.5	116. <mark>7%</mark>
	Serious Injury	302.7	354.5	17 🔢	26.0	33.0	26.9%
	Minor Injury	680.3	720.0	5.8%	44.7	41.5	-701%
	Possible Injury	1,240.0	1,129.5	-8.9%	62.7	75.0	19.726
	Property Damage Only	5,498.7	5,374.0	-28%	351.3	441.0	25.5%
	Total	7,819.0	7,676.5	-1.8%	487.7	597.0	22.4%
Non-Animal	Single Vehicle	3,274.7	3,010.0	-801%	294.0	353.0	20
Crashos	Head On	208.3	215.5	3.4%	8.3	10.5	26.0%
Clastics	Head On Left-Turn	175.7	200.0	13.2%	7.7	7.5	-22%
	Angle	963.0	944.0	-2. <b>þ</b> %	33.7	39.0	15.8%
	Rear End	2,151.0	2,144.0	-0.\$%	71.3	90.5	26. <mark>9%</mark>
	Sideswipe Same	455.0	501.5	10.2%	33.7	38.0	12.9%
	Sideswipe Opposite	247.3	257.0	3.9%	14.3	22.0	53. <mark>5%</mark>
	Other	344.0	404.5	17.	24.7	36.5	48 <mark>.0%</mark>
	Total	7 819 0	7.676.5	-1.8%	487.7	597.0	22.4%

MICHIGAN STATE

# Two-Lane Highways: Comparison of Pre- and Post- Increase Crash Rates (per 100 MVMT)

	Crash Type	Co	mparison Routes (55 M 4,589 Miles	РН)	Speed	Limit Increase Routes (6 932 Miles	55 MPH)
		Pre-Conversion	Post-Conversion	Percent Change	Pre-Conversion	Post-Conversion	Percent Change
	Fatal	1.29	1.25	-2,6%	0.39	0.73	90 <mark>.1%</mark>
	Serious Injury	4.11	4.62	12.	3.65	4.01	9.90%
	Minor Injury	9.49	9.74	2.4%	6.70	6.05	-9.7%
All Crashes	Possible Injury	17.74	15.75	-1122%	9.75	10.68	9.🔂
	Property Damage Only	189.84	203.48	7.2%	187.21	233.64	24 <mark>8%</mark>
	Total	222.48	234.85	5.6%	207.70	255.13	22. <mark>8%</mark>
Α	nimal Crashes	120.03	139.02	15. <mark>8%</mark>	144.85	187.63	29. <mark>5%</mark>
	Fata	1.28	1.23	-3,6%	0.39	0.73	90 <mark>1%</mark>
	Serious Injury	3.97	4.43	11.🐻	3.35	3.73	11.446
	Minor Injury	8.91	8.99	0.8%	5.76	4.69	185%
	Possible Injury	16.25	14.10	-132%	8.08	8.48	5.0%
	Property Damage Only	72.04	67.09	-6.9%	45.28	49.86	10 16
	Total	102.44	95.83	-6.5%	62.85	67.50	7.4%
Non Animal	Single Vehicle	42.90	37.58	-12,4%	37.89	39.91	5.3%
Crachos	Head On	2.73	2.69	-1.4%	1.07	1.19	10.5%
Clashes	Head On Left-Turn	2.30	2.50	8.9%	0.99	0.85	-142%
	Angle	12.62	11.78	-6.6%	4.34	4.41	1.6%
	Rear End	28.18	26.77	-5 <b>[</b> þ%	9.19	10.23	11. 💁
	Sideswipe Same	5.96	6.26	5. <b>0</b> %	4.34	4.30	-1. <b>0</b> %
	Sideswipe Opposite	3.24	3.21	-1.0%	1.85	2.49	34. <mark>7%</mark>
	Other	4.51	5.05	12.0%	3.18	4.13	29. <mark>8%</mark>
		100 / /	QE 97	-65%	62.85	67 50	7.4%



#### MICHIGAN STATE **Benefit/Cost Analysis Factors** Agency Infrastructure Costs (Denominator) • Speed limit signs • Warning signs (SRZs, curve warning, no passing zones, etc.) • Pavement markings (NPZ, auxiliary lanes and tapers, etc.) Value of Road User Benefits/Disbenefits (Numerator) • · Fuel consumption Travel time Traffic crashes Scope • • 608.7 miles of freeway (1844.8 miles for trucks) • 966.1 miles of non-freeway highways 33

	MC	OT Infra	astructu	re Costs	
Roadway Type	Signs	Pavement Markings	Labor/ Other	Total	Equivalent Annualized Cost*
Freeway	\$17,620	\$0	\$115,244	\$132,864	\$11,130
Non-Freeway	\$25,312	\$12,591	\$110.006	\$147,909	\$23.926

34

#### **Fuel Economy vs. Speed**

- Heavy trucks:
  - 7 mpg at 55 mph
  - Decreases by 0.1 mpg for every 1 mph increase in speed (Bridgestone; Garthwaite, 2011; Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 2013)
- Passenger vehicles:
  - 25 mpg at 70 mph
  - Decreases by 0.4 mpg for every 1 mph increase in speed (Thomas, West, & Huff, 2013)
- MSU's LIDAR data used for pre/post travel speeds
  - Non-congested daytime conditions
  - 56 freeway and 36 non-freeway locations

35

Fuel	Prices	
verage Retail Fuel Price	in Michigan by D	ate (AAA)
Date	Regular Unleaded (\$/gal)	Diesel (\$/gal)
March 31, 2022 Average Price	\$4.09	\$4.96
Feb 28, 2022 Average Price	\$3.56	\$3.99
	4.5.5.	ćο οΓ

M	IC	ł	110	G/	AN	S	T	AT	Έ
U	N	T	v	E	R	s	Т	т	Y

			I	Free	ways			
Vehicle Type	Pre- Increase Mean Speed (mph)	Post- Increase Mean Speed (mph)	VMT 2019 (millions)	Fuel Unit Cost (\$/gal)	Pre- Increase Fuel Economy (mpg)	Post- Increase Fuel Economy (mpg)	Change in Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons)	Change in Annual Fuel Consumption Cost (\$)
Passenger	73.43	76.17	3,415.70	\$3.50	23.63	22.53	7,031,742	\$24,611,098
Heavy Trucks	62.30	65.11	3,405.32	\$4.00	6.27	5.99	25,482,528	\$101,930,112
TOTAL							32,339,522	\$126,368,243
			No	n-Fr	eeway	/S		
	Pre-	Post-			Pre-	Post-		a .
	1			Fuel	Increase	Increase	Change in	Change In
	Increase Mean	Increase		Unit	Fuel	Fuel		///////////////////////////////////////
	Increase Mean Sneed	Increase Mean Speed	VMT 2019	Unit Cost	Fuel Economy	Fuel Economy	Annual Fuel	Consumption
Vehicle Type	Increase Mean Speed (mph)	Increase Mean Speed (mph)	VMT 2019 (millions)	Unit Cost (\$/gal)	Fuel Economy (mpg)	Fuel Economy (mpg)	Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons)	Consumption Cost (\$)
<b>Vehicle Type</b> Passenger	Increase Mean Speed (mph) 59.21	Increase Mean Speed (mph) 63.22	VMT 2019 (millions) 863.69	Unit Cost (\$/gal) \$3.50	Fuel Economy (mpg) 29.32	Fuel Economy (mpg) 27.71	Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons) 1,705,260	Consumption Cost (\$) \$5,968,409
<b>Vehicle Type</b> Passenger Heavy Trucks	Increase Mean Speed (mph) 59.21 57.43	Increase Mean Speed (mph) 63.22 61.73	VMT 2019 (millions) 863.69 98.65	Unit Cost (\$/gal) \$3.50 \$4.00	Fuel Economy (mpg) 29.32 6.76	Fuel Economy (mpg) 27.71 6.33	Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons) 1,705,260 992,283	Consumption Cost (\$) \$5,968,409 \$3,969,132

			Frod	awave			
			Vielus of	zways			
Vehicle Type	Pre-Increase Mean Speed (mph)	Post-Increase Mean Speed (mph)	Value of Time Unit Cost (\$/veh/ br)*	Pre-Increase Travel Time (bours)	Post-Increase Travel Time (bours)	Annual Travel Time Savings (bours)	Annual Value of Time Savings (\$)
Passenger	73.43	76.17	\$19.66	46,516,343	44,843,049	1,673,294	\$32,896,954
Heavy Trucks	62.3	65.11	\$34.68	54,660,047	52,301,043	2,359,004	\$81,810,249
TOTAL						4,032,297	\$114,707,202
			Non-F	reeway	'S		
		Post-	Non-F	reeway	Post-	Annual	
	Pre-Increase	Post- Increase Mean Speed	Non-F	Pre-Increase	Post- Increase	Annual Travel Time Savings	Annual Value
Vehicle Type	Pre-Increase Mean Speed (mph)	Post- Increase Mean Speed (mph)	Non-F	Pre-Increase Travel Time (hours)	Post- Increase Travel Time (hours)	Annual Travel Time Savings (hours)	Annual Value of Time Savings (\$)
Vehicle Type Passenger	Pre-Increase Mean Speed (mph) 59.21	Post- Increase Mean Speed (mph) 63.22	Non-F Value of Time Unit Cost (\$/veh/ hr)* \$19.66	Pre-Increase Travel Time (hours) 14,586,924	Post- Increase Travel Time (hours) 13,661,686	Annual Travel Time Savings (hours) 925,238	Annual Value of Time Savings (\$) \$18,190,185
<b>Vehicle Type</b> Passenger Heavy Trucks	Pre-Increase Mean Speed (mph) 59.21 57.43	Post- Increase Mean Speed (mph) 63.22 61.73	Non-F	Pre-Increase Travel Time (hours) 14,586,924 1,717,830	Post- Increase Travel Time (hours) 13,661,686 1,598,169	Annual Travel Time Savings (hours) 925,238 119,661	Annual Value of Time Savings (\$) \$18,190,185 \$4,149,840

# Increased Crashes (Disbenefit) Raw Counts

Severity	Average Annu Frequency, 20 (Pre-Incre	al Crash 14-2016 ase)	% Change in Crash Frequency (Post-Increase)	Change in Crash Frequency (Post-Increase)	Unit Cost Per Crash (2020 Dollars)*	Change in Annual Crash Costs
К		10.7	54.7%	5.8	\$12,176,441	\$71,029,240
Α		53.3	19.1%	10.2	\$706,090	\$7,178,582
в <b>F</b> I	reeways	150.0	35.7%	53.5	\$213,983	\$11,448,091
С	-	282.7	12.7%	35.8	\$135,397	\$4,851,719
PDO		3,137.0	16.0%	501.5	\$12,828	\$6,433,342
Total		3,633.7	16.7%	606.8		\$100,940,973
К		4.3	73.1%	3.2	\$12,176,441	\$38,558,730
A	Non-	31.7	24.7%	7.8	\$706,090	\$5,531,038
<sup>B</sup> C.		57.7	8.4%	4.8	\$213 <i>,</i> 983	\$1,034,251
	eeways	86.3	21.0%	18.2	\$135,397	\$2,459,709
PDO		1,561.7	41.0%	639.8	\$12,828	\$8,207,910
Total		1,741.7	38.7%	673.8		\$55,791,638

\*Comprehensive crash unit costs obtained from the FHWA's Crash Costs for Highway Safety Analysis

#### MICHIGAN STATE

# Increased Crashes (Disbenefit) Volume Adjusted

Severi	Average Annu Frequency, 20 ity (Pre-Incre	al Crash 14-2016 ase)	% Change in Crash Frequency (Post-Increase)	Change in Crash Frequency (Post-Increase)	Unit Cost Per Crash (2020 Dollars)*	Change in Annual Crash Costs
К		10.7	34.0%	3.6	\$12,176,441	\$44,152,234
А	_	53.3	3.1%	1.7	\$706,090	\$1,180,528
В	Freeways	150.0	17.5%	26.3	\$213,983	\$5,622,764
С	-	282.7	-2.4%	-6.8	\$135,397	\$(917,200)
PDO		3,137.0	0.5%	14.8	\$12,828	\$189,317
Total		3,633.7	1.1%	39.6		\$50,227,644
К		4.3	55.2%	2.4	\$12,176,441	\$29,107,496
А	Non-	31.7	11.8%	3.7	\$706,090	\$2,644,588
В	Frooways	57.7	-2.8%	-1.6	\$213,983	\$(349,845)
С	FIEEWays	86.3	8.5%	7.4	\$135,397	\$995,403
PDO		1,561.7	26.4%	412.0	\$12,828	\$5,285,163
Total		1,741.7	24.3%	423.8		\$37,682,806

\*Comprehensive crash unit costs obtained from the FHWA's Crash Costs for Highway Safety Analysis

41

#### **Benefit/Cost Ratio Comparison by Crash Estimation Method** В Travel Time Savings – Increased Fuel Consuption Costs – Increased Crash Costs $\overline{c} =$ Infrastructure Costs B/C B/C B/C Combined Crash Estimation Method Freeway 2-Lane Raw Crashes -10,133 -1,813 -4,455 Volume Adj Crashes -5,576 -1,057 -2,492



43

#### Conclusions



1999-2011 (Savolainen and Gates, 2014)

- Overall, results have consistently shown that fatalities increase in those states with higher speed limits.
- If increases are introduced at a largerscale, there are significant concerns as to the potential impacts.
- Additional concerns have arisen since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.
- Big issue nationally (e.g., NTSB, NCHRP 03-139), solutions are a challenge.

