## MDOT Streamlined Systemic Safety Program

**Finding Locations For Treatments** 



## "Solutions - looking for safety problem locations"

Turning the traditional approach—reactive spot analysis—around

Instead of:



**Systemic** – start with a countermeasure, find locations that can be helped with that treatment



## **Types of Eligible Projects**

- Horizontal curve delineation
- Rumble strips
  - Shoulder
  - Center
- Edgeline pavement marking
- Stop-controlled intersections







## **Systemic Implementation**

- This "family" of treatments is low-cost, they can be applied in a systemic approach
- Particularly applicable when crashes are widely scattered over many locations (e.g., in very rural areas)
- Rather than implement after a severe crash has occurred (reactive), a systemic approach implements based on the presence of certain risk factors (proactive)



## Identification of Potential Project Locations

- Network screening
  - Institutional knowledge/maintenance staff
  - Public concerns/complaints
  - Law enforcement, EMS, wrecker services?
  - Network screening with crash data frequency, crash mapping, and equivalent property damage only (Roadsoft)
  - Network screening with crash data and volumes crash rates (RS)
  - Network screening utilizing software Roadsoft
  - Network screening by systemic analysis

## Reading the Road



## Clues









## Finding Locations using Systemic Safety

- Identify locations for improvement by reviewing crash risk factors and scoring them
- Risks: geometrics, crash experience, roadway characteristics
- Limited risk data
- Crash performance is one measure of risk

## **Prioritization Strategies**

- By road class, i.e.,
- Ranking by risks (s
- Functional class
- Corridor

## Ranking by risks (systemic)

Sample of Priority Group (PG) Quali	fiers			
Intersections	Segments	Curves		
PG1: Any locations with 3 or more stars	PG1: Any locations with 4 or more stars	PG1: Any locations with 2 or more stars		
PG2: Any 2-star locations PG3: Any 1-star location	PG2: Any 3-star location with	PG2: Any 1-star location with at least 5 all severity		
with at least 1 severe crash	PG3: Any 2-star location with at least 1 severe crash	crashes		



## **Stop-controlled Intersection Upgrades**

- What types of treatments
- How to find locations
- Policy/strategy
- Crash types addressed
  - Right angle



## **Stop Sign Treatments**

Target crash types at stop-controlled intersections

- Right angle crashes stop-related crashes fall into two types:
  - Driver does not stop at stop sign (disregard TCD)
  - Driver stops but makes poor choice of gaps (failed to yield).
- Distinguishing between these crash conditions may require review of UD-10 crash reports

### Locate Stop-controlled Intersections with Safety Issues

Software - network screening w/Roadsoft

- Review Roadsoft maps
- Run intersection ranking report
- Select a manageable number of locations
- Review Roadsoft collision diagram
- Make brief field visit

Systemic approach



## Summary: Find Stop-controlled Project Locations

- Review local knowledge of system
- Review Roadsoft maps
- Run Roadsoft intersection ranking report
- Select a manageable number of locations
- Review Roadsoft collision diagram
- Make brief field visits

## **Roadway Departure Crashes**

- Strategies
  - Keep vehicles on roadway
  - Provide safe recovery
  - Reduce crash severity

### Lane departure crashes defined in Michigan data

- Four treatments addressing lane departure crashes:
  - Horizontal curve signing
  - Centerline rumble strips
  - Shoulder rumble strips/stripes
  - Edgeline pavement markings

### Shoulder Rumble Strips

# 13 - 51%

Reduction

Crash types/factors addressed:

Single vehicle, runoff-road fatal and injury crashes



Centerline Rumble Strips

## **44 - 64%** Reduction

Crash types/factors addressed:

Head-on, sideswipe opposite-direction, and fatal and injury crashes



## **Rumble Stripes**



Rumble stripes daytime (left) and at night in the rain (right). Note the brightness of the rumble stripe at night, as compared to the normal pavement marking to the left of the rumble line. The double edge line is shown only for the purpose of comparing the two types. Michigan DOT (by permission)

## **Edgeline Markings**

- Finding locations
- Crash types/factors addressed
  - Night wet crashes
  - All crash types & severities

Local agency safety funding is only available for striping edgline pavement markings on roadways where these markings do not currently exist. Safety funding is not available for re-striping of pavement markings.



Х

## **Curve Treatments**

- Horizontal curve signing
- Centerline rumble strips
- Shoulder rumble strips/stripes
- Centerline and shoulder rumble strips/stripes
- Edgeline pavement markings



## **Enhanced Curve Delineation**

- Find locations
- Crash types addressed

#### SAFETY BENEFITS

Chevron signs:

- 25% reduction in nighttime crashes
- 16% reduction in non-intersection fatal and injury crashes

## **Crash Types Associated with Curves**

- Single vehicle
  - Fixed object
  - Overturn
- Multi-vehicle
  - Head-on
  - Sideswipe opposite

Night crashes





#### 🕌 Crash Segment Ranking

📀 View Crashes

😢 Close

Fi	ilter Columns		Trunkline Road							
		Segment Name	PR Number	PR BMP	PR EMP	From	To ^			
S Run Ranking			,	County Road 665	579006	0.000	0.502	40th St	38th Ave	
	🗆 Limit List 🔼			County Road 665	584007	3.013	3.564	40th St	40th St	
	Limit List to Top	100		County Road 665	584306	0.000	0.759	76th Ave	Amtrak	
Percent/Segments   Percent  Segments			County Road 665	584306	0.759	1.182	Amtrak	72nd Ave		
			County Road 665	584602	0.000	0.605	47th Ave	Fisk Lake Rd		
5				County Road 668	3140082	0.633	2.279	Valley Rd & County Road 669	City/Twp Line	
	Crash Dates			County Road 669	3800031	0.000	1.275	92nd Ave & 38 1/2 St	88th Ave	
	Start Date	1/1/2013		County Road 669	3800031	3.895	4.210	79th Ave	City/Twp Line	
	End Date	12/31/2017		County Road 669	3800031	4.710	5.364	33rd St	32nd St	
Minimum Segment Length				County Road 681	587205	0.077	0.411	City/Twp Line	Camp Rd	
	Minimum Length (mi.)	0	·	County Road 681	587205	0.539	2.161	89th Ave	Territorial Rd	
	Typical Crash Costs			County Road 687	579309	14.971	15.369	34th Ave	Maple Ln	
		£2.000.000		County Road 687	579309	15.369	15.465	Maple Ln	Name Change	
	TCFA (Fatal)	\$2,600,000	<b>,</b>	County Road 687	579309	15.465	15.710	Name Change	Name Change	
LCIA (Injun) \$180,000			<u> </u>	County Road 687	579309	15.843	16.202	Merriman Lake Rd	County Road 378	
Advanced Filter:				E Red Arrow Hwy	582007	8.960	9.479	33rd St	County Road 653	
				E Saint Joseph St	581305	9.544	9.614	City/Twp Line	W Red Arrow Hwy & Co	
Filter:		^	Fisk Lake Rd	584905	5.921	7.255	44th Ave & 40th St	37 1/2 St		
Animal Crashes: Excluded Start Date >= 1/1/2013 End Date <= 12/31/2017 Minimum Length = 0			Paulson Rd	584504	0.000	0.235	Wise Rd	31st St		
			Paw Paw Rd	580908	0.000	0.045	Paw Paw Rd	E Bayshore Dr		
				Paw Paw Rd	580908	2.065	2.436	38th St	60th Ave	
				Red Arrow Hwy	581305	7.044	7.539	56th St	55th St	
				Red Arrow Hwy	581305	7.539	7.860	55th St	Butcher Rd	
Advanced Filter:				Shaw Rd	602709	8.736	9.731	Lewis Dr	31st St	
				Shaw Rd	602709	11.506	12.270	27 1/2 St	County Road 652	
ROAD: Legal System = County Local or County Primary				Silver Lake Rd	586502	1.228	1.687	Lovers Ln & Oak St	51 1/2 St	
Lane Departure = Single Vehicle Area Code = Curved Road, Not Related to Others Lighting = Dark, Unlighted or Dawn or Dusk				Sister Lakes Rd	3140076	0.942	1.080	Marion Dr	Victory Shore Dr	
				Stapleton Rd	583009	0.000	1.197	School St & 44th St	46th St	
				Territorial Rd	3801075	0.000	0.191	County Road 215	County Road 352	
				W Red Arrow Hwy	582007	0.000	0.550	County Road 374 & E Saint Joseph St	50th St	
				W Red Arrow Hwy	582007	3.028	3.160	Lanphear Rd	N Shore Dr	
			W Red Arrow Hwy	582007	3.203	3.553	45th St	County Road 671 🗸 🗸		
				<					>	

## Systemic - Roadway Departures

Some curve risk factors

- Curve radius
- Speed differential (from tangent approach)
- Visual trap
- Intersection in a curve
- Traffic volume



## Summary: Finding Curve Treatment Sites

- Use your local knowledge of the network
- Review Roadsoft map for curve-related crashes
- Roadsoft filter lane departure crashes
  - Segment Ranking Report
  - Curve Ranking Report
- Improve curve delineation
  - Signing
  - Rumble strips
  - Edgeline pavement markings

## Lane Departure on Tangent Section

Crash types: lane departure crashes ('run off road' on right side)

• Single vehicle

'Run off road' on left crossing centerline:

Head on and sideswipe opposite

Corridor vs. spot treatment

## **Roadsoft Corridor Crash Map**



### Case Study 1 – Douglas County Georgia

- Developed a county curve action plan
- Lack of comprehensive data so relied on staff, enforcement, public
- Treatments: upgrade all curve signing, RPMs
- Added "Curve Ahead" markings with arrows it worked!



## Case Study 2 – Minnesota

Purpose: identify where crash types most frequently occur

- Crash analysis of county road system in Minnesota
- More crashes on county system, majority rural road departure greater than 50% on curves
- Rural intersections crashes primarily at throughstop-controlled intersections – right-angle crashes
- Urban signalized intersections most common: right angle

## Case Study 3 – Minnesota DOT

- A star (\*) indicates corresponding risk factor is present
- More stars identify locations as higher priority candidates for safety investment

Rank	Corridor	ADT Range	Road Departure Density	Access Density	Curve Critical Radius Density	Edge Risk	Totals
1	144.01	*	*	*	*	*	****
2	40.04	*	*	*	*	*	****
3	131.01	1	*	*	*	*	****
4	9.02	*	*	*	*		****
5	5.06	*	*	*	*		****
6	31.02	*	*	*	*		****
7	8.01	*	*			*	***
8	4.01		*	*		*	***
9	2.05	1		*	*	*	***
10	4.04			*	*	*	***
11	38.01			*	*	*	***
12	132.01			*	*	*	***
13	42.01			*	*	*	***
14	9.03		*	*	*		***
15	25.01		*	*	*		***

## Summary

- You can get funding for 4 types of simple safety projects with minimal time and effort
- Use your knowledge of your system to make good project choices
- Roadsoft can provide visual information as well as ranking reports to help identify locations
- Locations can be selected based on "risk' in a "pro-active" fashion